

A

W.P(C)No. 262 OF 1997  
ITEM No.101 (Part-Heard)

COURT No. 1

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition (Civil) No.262 of 1997@@  
CC

The Terai Indian Planters' Assn. & Anr.

Petitioners

VERSUS

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Respondents

(with appln.(s) for stay and clarification and intervention)

with

W.P. (C) No. 515 of 1997  
(With appln. for stay)

W.P. (C) No. 641 of 1997  
(With appln. for stay and office report)

W.P. (C) No. 347 of 1999  
(With appln. for stay)

W.P. (C) No. 360 of 1999  
(With appln. for stay)

W.P. (C) No. 50 of 2000

W.P. (C) No. 642 of 1997  
(With appln. for stay and office report)

W.P. (C) No. 553 of 2000  
(With appln. for stay and office report)

W.P. (C) No. 207 of 2001  
(With appln. for directions)

W.P. (C) No. 288 of 2001

W.P. (C) No. 389 of 2001  
(With office report)

C.A. No. 5027 of 2000  
(With office report)

C.A. Nos. 6643 to 6650 of 2000  
(With office report)

C.A. No. 6894 of 2000  
(With office report)

C.A. No. 1077 of 2001  
(With office report)

W.P. (C) No. 81 of 2003  
(With appln. for ex-parte stay)

...2/-

Date : 26/03/2003 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.C. LAHOTI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA  
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE AR. LAKSHMANAN

For Appellant (s)/  
Petitioner (s)

WP 515, 641, 347, Mr. Dipankar P. Gupta, Sr.Adv. (in WP 50)  
360, 50, 642, 288 & Mr. A.K. Ganguli, Sr.Adv. (in WP 515)  
81 Mr. D. Mandal, Adv.  
Mr. Gaurab K. Banerjee, Adv.  
Ms. Sangeeta Mandal, Adv.  
Mr. Kapil Chaudhary, Adv.  
Ms. Swati Sinha, Adv.  
Mr. Indranil Ghosh, Adv.  
Mr. Arvind Varma, Adv.  
Ms. Jayasree Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Vineeta Bharadwaj, Adv.  
Ms. Mamta Tiwari, Adv.  
for M/s. Fox Mandal & Co., Adv.

in WP 262: Mr. G L Sanghi, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Sushil Kumar Jain, Adv.  
Mr. Pradeep Aggarwal, Adv.  
Mr. A P Dhamija, Adv.  
Ms. Anjali Doshi, Adv.  
Ms. Ruchi Kohli, Adv.  
Mr. Anil Vyas, Adv.  
Mr. Kumar Karthikey, Adv.  
Mr. L P Singh, Adv.

in WP 553: Mr. A K Ganguli, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Pradeep Agarwal, Adv.  
Mr. A Mishra, Adv.  
Mr. Prakash Shrivastava, Adv.

in WP 207: Mr. Bhaskar P. Gupta, Sr.Adv.  
Mr. Prateek Jalan, Adv.  
Ms. Ruby Singh Ahuja, Adv.

in WP 389: Mr. R K Tiwari, Adv.  
Ms. Arpita Mahajan, Adv.  
Ms. Nina Gupta, Adv.  
Ms. Bina Gupta, Adv.

in CA 5027, 6643, Mr. R. Venkataramani, Sr. Adv.  
6645 to 6650 Mr. S. Ravi Shankar, Adv.  
Mr. S. Jayashimma, Adv.  
Mr. P K Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. E M S Natchiappan, Adv.  
Ms. R Yamuna, Adv.  
Mr. Manick Tagoore, Adv.  
Mr. Pawan Kumar Sharma, Adv.

...3/-

in CA 6644 Dr. A M Singhvi, Sr. Adv.  
 Mr. S Ravi Shankar, Adv.  
 Mr. Shahid Rizvi, Adv.  
 Mr. Arun, Adv.  
 Mr. Amit Bhandari, Adv.

in CA 6894 & 1077 Mr. E M Sudarsana Natchiappan, Adv.  
 Ms. Yamunah Nachiar, Adv.  
 Mr. S Ravi Shankar, Adv.  
 Mr. P V Yogeshwaran, Adv.

For Respondent(s)  
 in WP 262, 515, 641, 347, 360, 50, 642, 553, 207, 288 & 389: Mr. V.R. Reddy, Sr.Adv.  
 Mr. H.K. Puri, Adv.  
 Mr. Tara Chandra Sharma, Adv.  
 Mr. S.K. Puri, Adv.  
 Mr. Ujjwal Banerjee, Adv.  
 Ms. Anindita Gupta, Adv.

in WP 262:  
 (for intervenors) Dr. Debiprosad Pal, Sr.Adv.  
 Mr. S S Ray, Adv.  
 Mr. U.A. Rana, Adv.  
 Ms. Anuradha Priyadarshini, Adv.  
 for M/s. Gagrath and Co.

in WP 262, 347, 360, 553, 207 & 389: Mr. Mukul Rohtagi, ASG  
 Ms. Rekha Pandey, Adv.  
 Ms. Neera Gupta, Adv.  
 Mr. Shreekant N. Terdol, Adv.  
 Mr. B.V. Balaram Das, Adv.

in CA 5027: Mr. Ajay K. Agrawal, Adv.  
 Ms. Alka Agrawal, Adv.

in CA 5027, 6643 to 6650, 6894 & 1077: Mr. Gaurav Jain, Adv.  
 Ms. Abha Jain, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
 O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J  
 .SP2

Intervention in WP(C) No. 262/1997 is allowed.

Mr. Bhaskar P. Gupta, learned senior counsel resumed his arguments at 10.35 A.M. and concluded at 11.50 A.M. Thereafter, Mr. A K Ganguli, learned senior counsel commenced his arguments and concluded at 12.35 P.M. Mr. Dipankar P Gupta, learned senior counsel made his submissions for 15 minutes thereafter. Mr. G L ...4/-

.PA

:4:

Sanghi, learned senior counsel then commenced his arguments and concluded at 2.55 P.M. Mr. R K Tiwari, learned counsel also made his submissions for five minutes. Thereafter, Mr. V R Reddy, learned senior counsel appearing for the respondents commenced his arguments and had not concluded when the Court rose for the day leaving the matters as part-heard.

.SP1

Sushma

(D.P. Walia)

(S. Krishnan)

=====

ITEM NO. 101 (P.H.)	COURT NO. 1	DT.:27.3.2003@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	CCCCCCCCCC	CCCCCCCCCCCCCC

CORAM & APPEARANCE : SAME AS ON 26.3.2003@@  
CC

Mr. V R Reddy, learned senior counsel resumed his arguments at 10.30 A.M. and concluded at 12.05 P.M. Thereafter, Mr. Mukul Rohtagi, learned Addl. Solicitor General made submissions on behalf of Union of India for five minutes. Thereafter, Mr. Bhaskar P Gupta and Mr. A K Ganguli made their rejoinder submissions for 20 and 15 minutes respectively.

Arguments concluded in W.P.(C) Nos. 262/97, 515/97, 641/97, 642/97, 347/99, 360/99, 50/2000, 553/2000, 207/2001, 288/2001, 389/2001 and 81/2003 (tea matters) and judgment reserved.

Thereafter, Dr. A M Singhvi, learned senior counsel appearing for the appellant in C.A. No. 6644/2000 started his arguments in U.P. minor mineral matters and concluded at 4.40 P.M. Thereafter, Mr. R Venkataramani, learned senior counsel appearing for the appellants argued in the matters for 10 minutes. Thereafter, Mr. Gaurav Jain, learned counsel appearing for the respondent made his submissions for some time.

Arguments concluded in C.A. Nos.5027/2000, 6643 to 6650/2000, 6894/2000 and 1077/2001 and judgment reserved.

.SP1

Sushma

(D.P. Walia)  
Court Master

(S. Krishnan)  
Court Master