

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M.A. SAYEED

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).30411/2011

JAGAT SINGH & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP,c/delay in refiling SLP and office report)

WITH

SLP(C) NO.33893/2011

SLP(C) NO.8672/2012

SLP(C) NO.8673/2012

SLP(C) NO.1916-2058/2012

SLP(C) NO.10857/2012

SLP(C) NO.10858/2012

Date: 22/11/2013 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mrs Shiel Sethi, Adv.

Ms Naresh Bakshi, Adv.

Mr Aftab Ali Khan, Adv.

Mr Ambuj Agarwal, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr Ambuj Agarwal, Adv.

Ms. Anubha Agrawal, Adv.

Mr Govind Goel, Adv.

Mr Mohan Lal Sharma, Adv.

Mr M.K.Ghosh, Adv.

Mr Tarun Gupta, Adv.

Mr Rishi Malhotra, Adv.

Mr R.C.Kaushik, Adv.

Mr Rajinder Mathur, Adv.

Mr Shree Pal Singh, Adv.

Mr Govind Goel, Adv.

Dr Kailash Chand, Adv.

Ms S Janani, Adv.

Ms Manjeet Kirpal, Adv.

Mr Pratap Singh, Adv.

Mr Santosh Kumar Tripathi, Adv.

-2-

Item No.14

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

SLP(C) NO.30411/2011

Despite final chance granted on the last date, respondent Nos. 1 to

5 have failed to file counter affidavit on record.

No further steps required.

Registry to process the matter for listing before the Hon'ble Court as and when other connected matters would become ready.

SLP(C) NO.33893/2011

None for the petitioner.

It is pertinent to note that on the last date the ld. counsel for the petitioner was directed to clarify the ambiguity regarding moving of the application for substitution of deceased respondent who in fact as per the office report itself is not a party to the proceeding.

However, till date no compliance has been made. Office report in default be placed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for necessary orders/directions.

Counter affidavit on behalf of the duly represented respondent NO.1 has already come on record.

Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 have been duly and properly served but none appeared on their behalf.

SLP(C) NO.10858/2012

Despite specific directions the ld. Counsel for the petitioner has neither paid process fee nor filed spare copies because of which notices to respondent Nos. 1 and 2

-3-

Item No.14

could not be issued. Office report in default be placed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for necessary orders/directions.

Right of respondent NO.3 to file counter affidavit has been already exhausted.

SLP(C) NO.1916-2058/2012

In this batch of 138 matters position/status of the respondents has been shown in a tabular chart perusal of which indicates that some of the respondents have been duly served and are also duly represented. Four weeks' time is granted for filing counter affidavit subject to service of the complete set of pleadings on the ld. Counsel appearing on their behalf and necessary proof being filed on record in a weeks' time.

So far as unserved respondents are concerned, it appears that notices have been issued on 20.11.2013 itself. Therefore, service report is awaited.

In some of the matters, respondents are reported to have expired and application for substitution seems to have been moved on record but the same is reported to be suffering from defects/omissions. The ld. Counsel for the petitioner, Mr Ambuj Agarwal has undertaken to rectify this omission in a weeks' time and on compliance these applications be processed as per rules.

SLP(C) NO.8672, 10857 OF 2012

Service report in respect of the unserved respondents is awaited (issued on 3.10.2013 and 7.10.2013)

-4-

Item No.14

SLP(C) NO.8673/2012

The ld. Counsel for the petitioner is granted two weeks' time as final chance for filing process fee and spare copies and on compliance notices be issued to the unserved respondents through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service.

List again on 6.1.2014.

| | (M.A.SAYEED) |
| | REGISTRAR |

hj