

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M.A. SAYEED

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).30411/2011

JAGAT SINGH & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP,c/delay in refiling
SLP and office report)

WITH

SLP(C) No.33893/2011

SLP(C) No.1916-2058,10858/2012

(WITH OFFICE REPORT)

Date: 27/08/2013 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mrs Shiel Sethi,Adv.
Ms. Anubha Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. Naresh Bakshi, Adv.
Mr. Aftab Ali Khan, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms. Anubha Agarwal, Adv.
Ms. Naresh Bakshi, Adv.
Ms. Anubha Agrawal,Adv.
Mr. M.K. Ghosh, Adv.
Mr. Tarun Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Shiel Sethi, Adv.
Mr. Rishi Malhotra, Adv.
Mr. R.C. Kaushik, Adv.
Mr. Govind Goel, Adv.
Dr. Kailash Chand, Adv.
Mr. Manjit Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

SLP(C) No.30411/2011

Respondent Nos.1 to 5 are granted three weeks' time, as final chance,
for filing counter affidavit on record.

Item No.39

-2-

SLP(C) No.33893/2011

Counter affidavit on behalf of respondent No.1 has already come on
record.

Respondent Nos.2 and 3 are reported to be duly and properly served
through the Ld.Trial Court but none appeared on their behalf.

The learned counsel for the petitioner to clarify the ambiguity
regarding moving the application for substitution of the legal
representatives of deceased respondent No.3 on record, which as per the
office report is not a party in the present SLP. Necessary clarification
be submitted by the next date, without fail.

SLP(C) No.10858/2012

Service report in respect of respondent Nos.1 and 2 is awaited.

Respondent No.3 has failed to file counter affidavit, despite final
chance granted on the last date.

SLP(C) No.1916-2058/2012

This is a batch of 143 matters.

In 86 matters, the duly represented respondents are granted four
weeks' time for filing counter affidavit.

In 100 matters, as shown in the Tabular Chart, the learned counsel for the petitioner to take fresh steps for

Item No.39

-3-

serving the respondents through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service.

Glance at the Tabular Chart also indicates that in 40 matters, application for substitution of deceased respondent is to be filed on record while in SLP(C) No.1934/2012, defective application has been filed. Error/omission be rectified by the next date.

The learned Advocate, Dr. Kailash Chand seems to have filed counter affidavit on behalf of HUDA, who is common respondent in 52 matters on record. Copy be served on the other side.

In 91 matters, an application for imleading HUDA through State Officers, Faridabad has been moved.

Registry to process the same for being placed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for necessary orders/directions.

List again on 4.10.2013.

(M.A. SAYEED)
REGISTRAR

rd