

ITEM NO.4

COURT NO.13

SECTION XI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I.A. No.2/2014, I.A. No.3/2014, I.A. No.4/2014, I.A. No.5/2014, I.A. No.6/2014 in Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 10106/2004

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 29/10/2003 in CMWP No. 7181/1973 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad)

BRIJ NATH (D) BY LRS. & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

DUDH NATH @ DANGAR (D) BY LRS. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(For substitution of deceased petitioner and substitution of deceased respondent and c/delay and c/delay in filing substitution appln. And office report)

Date : 18/04/2016 This application was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT
[IN CHAMBERS]

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Dinesh Kumar Garg, Adv. (Not Present)

For Respondent(s) Dr. Ashutosh Garg, Adv. (Not Present)
Mr. M. P. Shorawala, Adv. (Not Present)
Mr. R. D. Upadhyay, Adv. (Not Present)

The Court made the following
O R D E R

I.A.Nos. 2 & 3/2014

This application for substitution to bring on record the legal representatives of Petitioner No.2/3 is delayed by 886 days.

I.A No.4,5 & 6/2014

This application for substitution to bring on record the legal representatives of deceased respondent Nos.9 & 10 is delayed by 1025 days.

On 18.01.2015, following order was passed by learned chamber Judge:-

"As prayed for, four weeks' time is granted to learned counsel for the petitioners to file better affidavit explaining delay in filing substitution application."

An affidavit has since then been filed. However, no explanation has been made in the affidavit on the basis of which delay could be condoned.

In the circumstances, these interlocutory applications are dismissed.

(RASHMI DHYANI)
SR.P.A.

(SUMAN JAIN)
COURT MASTER