

Listed on 8.9.2016  
Court No.  
Item No.

**SECTION XII**  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

**CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 3445-3446 of 2008**

M/a. K.G.M. Minerals(P) Ltd.

...Appellant

Versus

State of Tamil Nadu & Ors.

...Respondents

**OFFICE REPORT**

The matters above mentioned have been filed against the Order dated 16<sup>th</sup> October, 2006 of the High Court of Judicature at Madras in batch of Writ Appeal Nos. 1791 and 1792 of 2003.

The matters were listed alongwith SLP (C)No. 6250 of 2015 before the Hon'ble Court on 29<sup>th</sup> March, 2016, when the Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

"The learned counsel for the petitioner seeks two more weeks' time to file a chart as directed by this Court on 14.10.2015.

The time, as prayed for, is granted. The chart shall clearly indicate as to the date of taking possession and the mode of taking possession of each item of the property, if at all they have taken possession.

It shall also be indicated in the chart the mode of payment or deposit of compensation of each item.

A copy of the chart shall be furnished to the respondent on or before 10.04.2016.

In case the chart is not filed on or before 10.04.2016, it shall be presumed that they have not taken physical possession of the property and the officers responsible for

...2/-

**not filing the chart shall be personally made liable for the consequences.**

**Post on 12.04.2016 on top of the list.**

**In the batch, the cases shall be serially numbered while listing.**

**Delay condoned and issue notice in all the fresh matters returnable on 12.04.2016.**

**List all the matters on 12.04.2016."**

It is submitted that there are four respondents. Respondent Nos. 1, 2 and 4 are represented by Mr. B. Balaji, Advocate. Respondent No. 3 has been served at SLP stage.

It is further submitted that Mr. P. Narsimhan, Advocates for the appellant has on 8<sup>th</sup> April, 2011 filed statement of case which has been included in the Judges paper books but Counsel for the Respondent has not filed statement of case and in terms of Order XIX Rule 32(2) Supreme Court Rules, 2013, and its proviso, where a party does not file statement of case within the time prescribed, it shall be presumed that the party does not desire to file statement of cases and has adopted list of dates/synopsis as statement of case.

The matters above mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this Report.

Dated this, the 2<sup>nd</sup> day of September, 2016.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to: Mr. P. Narsimhan, Advocate  
Mr. B. Balaji, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

vk