

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Review Petition(Crl.) Nos.600-601/2003 in Crl.A.No. 619-620/2000

LAKSHMI & ORS. Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P. Respondent (s)

( With Appln(s). for c/delay in filing review petition &  
exemption from filing c/c of the impugned Judgment )  
( With Office Report )

Date : 01/05/2003 These petitions were circulated for reviewing the  
order today.

CORAM :  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE Y.K. SABHARWAL  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.K. SEMA

By Circulation

UPON perusing the papers, the Court made the following  
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....J  
.SP2  
Delay condoned.  
The Review Petitions are dismissed.  
.SP1

[Naresh Kumar]  
Court Master

[ VP Tyagi ]  
Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file.]

.PA  
.UP 10 2; Draft, smtst; -n -PA4 -dFX-NORMAL -y -e; dumbp  
L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R  
L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R  
.PL55...T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (CR) NOS.600-601 OF 2003@@  
CC  
IN

Lakshmi & Ors.

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of U.P.

...Respondent

O R D E R@@  
CCCCCCCC

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....R  
.SP2

Delay condoned.

We have carefully gone through the review petitions and the order of this Court dated 29th August, 2002 which is sought to be reviewed. In our opinion there is no merit in the review petitions. They are accordingly dismissed.

.SP1

.....J.  
[ Y.K. SABHARWAL ]

New Delhi,  
May 01, 2003.@@  
CCCCCCCC

.....J.  
[ H.K. SEMA ]