

SLP(Crl.)No. 940 OF 2004
ITEM No.39

Court No. 5

SECTION IIA
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No. 940/2004

(From the judgement and order dated 22/01/2004 in BA 4860/03
of The HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI)

AKHILESHWAR SINGH

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA

Respondent (s)

(With Appln(s). for bail and with Office Report)
With
SLP(Crl.)No.1166/2004

Date : 06/05/2004 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE Y.K. SABHARWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA

For Petitioner (s) Mr. Vishnu Sharma, Adv.
IN 1166: Mr. Saurav Agrawal, Adv.
Mr. Prashant Kumar, Adv.

IN 940: Mr. Uday U. Lalit, Adv.
Mr. Gaurav Agrawal, Adv.
Mr. Prashant Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. A. Mariarputham, Adv.
Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv.
Mr. P. Parmeswaran, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

SLP(Crl.)No.940/2004:

The petitioner is directed to be released on bail by executing a bail bond for a sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties for the like amount to the satisfaction of the concerned trial court with further conditions that he will surrender his passport, if any, in that court; will not leave the territorial jurisdiction of the State of Bihar/Jharkhand without leave of the Court; will not attempt to tamper with the evidence or try to influence any witness and appear in court as and when called upon to do so. The Special Leave Petition is disposed of accordingly.

Civil Appeal No...../2004 @ SLP(Crl.)No.1166/2004

Leave granted.

The appeal is disposed of.

(S. Thapar)
PS to Registrar

(V.P. Tyagi)
Court Master

Signed order is SLP(Crl.)No.1166/2004 is placed on the file.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.579 OF 2004
(Arising out of SLP(Crl.)No.1166/2004)

Kedar Prasad Singh Appellant (s)

versus

Union of India Respondent (s)

O R D E R

Leave granted.

The only grievance of the appellant is about the condition regarding deposit of Rs.3,85,018.20 p (Rupees three lac, eighty five thousand and eighteen and paise twenty only) for his release on bail. On the facts and circumstances, we find no ground to impose such a condition. The condition about the deposit is accordingly set aside. The appellant would be released on bail in terms of the impugned order without the requirement to make the deposit. The appeal is disposed of accordingly.

.....J
(Y.K. Sabharwal)

.....J
(S.B. Sinha)
New Delhi,
May 06, 2004