

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION  
TRANSFER PETITION (C) NO.258 of 2012

Shelly Agarwal

Petitioner

Versus

Sanjeev V. Agarwal

Respondent

O R D E R

1. Despite service of notice, no one has filed Vakalatnama and memo of appearance on behalf of sole Respondent.

2. The Petitioner - wife has filed this Petition under Section 25 of the Code of Civil Procedure with the prayer for transfer of Matrimonial Case No.549 of 2011 titled as "Sanjeev V. Agarwal Vs. Shelly Agarwal" pending in the Court of Family Judge at Mysore, Karnataka to the Family Court at Pune, Maharashtra.

3. Thus, after having gone through the Transfer Petition, we deem it fit and proper to transfer the matter to the Family Court at Pune, Maharashtra. We order accordingly. The parties are directed to appear before the said Court at Pune, Maharashtra on 31.07.2012 and the Court of Family Judge at Mysore (Karnataka) is directed to remit the record to the said Court forthwith.

....2/-

: 2 :

4. The Petitioner - wife would file her Written Statement, if she has not filed already, within fifteen days from the date, she puts in appearance on 31.07.2012. The Court, thereafter, would frame issues and decide the suit itself as expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of nine (9) months from the date of framing of the Issues. It is also expected that none of the parties would seek undue adjournment of the matter.

5. Office is directed to send the copies of the order to the Courts at Mysore (Karnataka) and Pune (Maharashtra) both.

6. The Transfer Petition is allowed in the above terms.

.....J  
[Deepak Verma]

.....J  
[Gyan Sudha Misra]

New Delhi;  
July 02, 2012.

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 258 OF 2012

SHELLY AGARWAL Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SANJEEV V AGARWAL Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

Date: 02/07/2012 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK VERMA  
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE GYAN SUDHA MISRA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Rajiv Shankar Dvivedi, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Despite service of notice, no one has filed Vakalatnama and memo of appearance on behalf of sole Respondent.

The Transfer Petition is allowed in terms of the signed order.

(Sanjay Kumar-II)	Court	(S.S.R. Krishna)	
Master		Court Master	

[Signed Order is placed on the file]