

*

Cr1.A.No. 976 OF 1998

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.976 OF 1998

STATE OF HIMACHAL PRADESH
PPELLANT (S)

.....A

VERSUS

NAR SINGH
.....RESPONDENT (S)

O R D E R

Heard the parties.

The sole respondent was charged and tried for offence under Section 306 IPC and by judgment, rendered by the trial court, acquitted of the charge. On appeal being preferred by the State of Himachal Pradesh, the High Court upheld the order of acquittal. Hence, this appeal by special leave.

We have heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties and gone through the impugned judgments as well as the evidence. In our view, the High Court has not committed any error in upholding the order of acquittal recorded by the trial court, therefore, no case is made out to interfere with the same.

- 2 -

The appeal is, accordingly, dismissed.

.....J.

(B.N. AGRAWAL)

.....J.

(D.M. DHARMADHIKARI)

NEW DELHI;
MARCH 17, 2004

ITEM NO.116

COURT NO.6

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
Record of Proceedings

Criminal Appeal No.976/1998

State of Himachal Pradesh

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

Nar Singh

Respondent (s)

Date : 17/03/2004 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.M.DHARMADHIKARI

For Appellant (s)

Mr.J.S. Attri, Adv.

Mr. Pramod Kumar Yadav, Adv.

For Respondent (s)

Mr. Prem Malhotra, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel, the Court made the following

O R D E R

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(Satish K. Yadav)

Court Master Court Master

(V.P. Tyagi)

(Signed order is placed on the file)