

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl)...../2006

(From the judgement and order dated 31/05/2005 in CRLR No. 387/1997 of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

GURMEET SINGH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

AMRIK SINGH & ORS.

Respondent(s)

With CRLMP.NO(s). 4095/2006 (c/delay in filing SLP and office report)

Date: 01/05/2006 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.K. SEMA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.V. RAVEENDRAN

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Gaurav K.Bhatnagar, Adv.

Mr. Dharam Bir Raj Vohra,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

This is a classic example as to how the Registry is continuously defaulting and making a mistake in recording office report. Only the other day we have called for an explanation from the Registry when the office report showed that the copy of record of proceedings of the earlier case has been enclosed although it was not enclosed.

Today also the office report dated 21.4.2006 shows that in a similar case

leave has been granted and a copy of record of proceedings dated 27th February, 2006 is

enclosed herewith. However, the copy of record of proceedings dated 27.2.2006 is not

enclosed. List this matter along with Criminal Appeal No.261 of 2006 after summer

vacation. In the meantime, the Registry shall explain the serious lapses committed in

various proceedings.

(PAWAN KUMAR)  
SINGH)

COURT MASTER  
ER

(ANAND

COURT MASTER