

ITEM NO.1

COURT NO.11

SECTION IVB

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).5100/2011

(From the judgement and order dated 19/01/2011 in CWP No.
2570/2004 of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

SHAM LAL & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission to place addl. documents on record
and exemption from filing c/c of the impugned Judgment and
exemption from filing O.T. and with prayer for interim relief and
office report)

Date: 24/02/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASOK KUMAR GANGULY

For Petitioner(s) Mr.Raju Ramachandran, Sr.Adv.
 Mr.Ajay Marwah, Adv.
 Mr.Rakesh Singh, Adv.
 Mr. Arun K. Sinha,Adv.
 Mr.Krishan Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard Shri Raju Ramachandran, learned senior counsel for
the petitioners. One of the grounds on which the petitioners had
challenged the acquisition of their land and which ground has been
reiterated in the special leave petition is that even though they
had raised structure on the land proposed to be acquired before
the issue of notification under Section 36 of the Punjab Town
Improvement Act, 1922, the Division Bench of the High Court
erroneously held that the constructions were made after the issue
of notification.

Issue notice on the special leave petition as also on the
petitioners' prayer for interim relief, returnable in four weeks.
Dasti, in addition, is permitted.

In the meanwhile, the respondents are restrained from
demolishing the structures existing on the land of the

petitioners.

(Satish K.Yadav)
Court Master

(Phoolan Wati Arora)
Court Master