

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).15667/2004

(From the judgement and order dated 14/11/2003 in LPA No. 717/1997  
of The HIGH COURT OF PATNA)

NEW INDIA ASSURANCE CO. LTD.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SHAMIM AHMAD & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief and office report )

Date: 31/03/2006 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.P. SINGH

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALTAMAS KABIR

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Mukul Rohtagi, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Irshad Ahmad, Adv.

Mr. K. Rajeev, Adv. (NP)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Counsel for the petitioner submits that though selected,  
the respondent was not appointed

because the vigilance report in connection with the said respondent  
disclosed that he had in the past as

an agent indulged in malpractices. He draws our attention to the su  
pplementary affidavit filed by the

petitioner before the High Court in Letters Patent Appeal.

Counsel for the respondent states that the said documents have not been served upon him.

Counsel for the petitioner will serve upon the counsel for the respondent the relevant documents and

the respondent will file a reply to the said supplementary affidavit within two weeks. Put up thereafter.

(Ajay Kr. Jain)  
(Vijay Dhawan)

Court Master  
Court Master