

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I N D I A

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C)

No(s). 33415/2011

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 17/08/2011 in WA No. 1152/2008 passed by the High Court of A.P. at Hyderabad)

M/S KLEN & MARSHALLS MANFRS.EXPORTERS LD

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

M/S EASTER POWER DISTRN.CO.& ANR

Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for directions and impleadment and interim relief and office report)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 10601/2012

(With appln.(s) for directions and impleadment and Office Report)

Date : 05/04/2016 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPAK MISRA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVA KIRTI SINGH

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Sharan Thakur, Adv.

Mr. Vijay Kumar Pardeshi, Adv.

Dr. Sushil Balwada, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Shekhar Kumar, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard Mr. Sharan Thakur, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Shekhar Kumar, learned counsel for the respondent.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, we are of the considered opinion that the High Court has rightly opined that the
Signature Not Verified

writ petition is not maintainable.

In view of our concurrence, we

Digitally signed by

GULSHAN KUMAR

ARORA

Date: 2016.04.08

10:41:58 IST

Reason:

grant liberty to the petitioner to take appropriate steps, as

advised in law, to agitate his grievances.

Needless to say, the

period spent during the pendency of the writ petition and the

2

present special leave petition shall be taken into consideration as envisaged under Section 14 of the Limitation Act, 1963 and shall stand excluded for the purpose of computation of limitation.

With the aforesaid observations, the special leave petition stands disposed of.

All the interlocutory applications stand disposed of

accordingly.

(Gulshan Kumar Arora)
Court Master

(H.S. Parasher)
Court Master