

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR PRAVEEN KUMAR

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 33764/2012

MANGTU Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

DIRECTOR RURAL DEV.&PANCHAYATS PB&ORS. Respondent(s)

(with interim relief and office report)

WITH

- SLP(C) No. 33831/2012  
(With Interim Relief and Office Report)
- SLP(C) No. 33832/2012  
(With Interim Relief and Office Report)
- SLP(C) No. 33833/2012  
(With Interim Relief and Office Report)
- SLP(C) No. 33998/2012  
(With Interim Relief and Office Report)
- SLP(C) No. 34380/2012  
(With Office Report)
- SLP(C) No. 34381/2012  
(With Office Report)
- SLP(C) No. 34382/2012  
(With Office Report)
- SLP(C) No. 34678/2012  
(With Interim Relief and Office Report)
- SLP(C) No. 19680/2013  
(With Interim Relief and Office Report)
- SLP(C) No. 22206-22209/2013  
(With Office Report)
- SLP(C) No. 30491/2013  
(With Interim Relief and Office Report)
- SLP(C) No. 205-208/2014  
(With Office Report)
- SLP(C) No. 486/2014  
(With Office Report)
- SLP(C) No. 488/2014  
(With Office Report)
- SLP(C) No. 6181/2014

Signature Not Verified

(With Office Report)

Digitally signed by  
Sushma Kumari Bajaj

Date: 2014.12.16

SLP(C) No. 17304-17305/2014

12:20:30 IST

Reason:

(With Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 30271/2014  
SLP(C) No.8687/2012 & 38532/2012

.....2

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. R. C. Kaushik, Adv.  
Ms. Pooja Tandon, adv.  
Mrs. Amita Gupta, Adv.  
Mr. Sudarshan Singh Rawat, Adv.  
Ms. S. Janani, Adv.  
Ms. Reeta Dewan Puri, adv.  
Mr. P. N. Puri, Adv.  
Mr. Subhasish Bhowmick, Adv.  
Mr. Balbir Singh Gupta, adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Yadav Narender Singh, Adv.  
  
Ajay Kumar Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Arvind Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. S. L. Aneja, Adv.  
Mr. R. C. Kaushik, adv.  
Mr. Anis Ahmed Khan, adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

SLP(C) No.8687 & 34380/2012

Await the return of the service of notice already issued to the respondent No.1 in both the matters.

SLP(C) No.34381/2012

The office report indicates that the respondent No.2 has already filed the counter affidavit. Service of notice is complete on the respondent No.1, but no one has entered appearance on his behalf. Viewed thus, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

SLP(C) Nos.33764, 33831, 33832, 33833, 33998, 34678, 38532/2012, 22206-209, 30491/2013, 205-208/14 & 17304-05/2014

The office report states that although by order dated 25.09.2014 of this Court, three weeks time as last chance was given to the Id.counsel for the petitioner to take fresh steps for the service of notice to the unserved respondents, yet he has not done

.....3

ITEM NO.29

-3-

the needful so far. Viewed in that context, these matters shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for further future directions. Await orders and list thereafter.

SLP(C) No.34382/2012

Four weeks time as last chance is given to the Id.counsel for the petitioner to take fresh steps for the service of notice by usual mode to both the unserved respondents.

SLP(C) No.19680/2013

Four weeks time as last chance is given to the respondent No.1 to file the counter affidavit.

SLP(C) No.486 & 488/2014

Four weeks time as last chance is given to the respondent No.1 to comply with the terms of the office report.

SLP(C) No.6181/2014

Delay in filing the spare copies of the petition is condoned. Notice shall be issued to the unserved respondents with utmost dispatch.

SLP(C) No.30271/2014

Await the return of the service of notice already issued to all the unserved respondents.

List again on 26.02.2015.

(PRAVEEN KUMAR)  
Registrar

SB