

jITEM NO.105

COURT NO.3

SECTION XI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No(s). 5855/2005

MUNNA LAL

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

U.P. JUDICIAL SERVICES ASSN. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(with office report)

WITH

W.P.(C) No. 155/2005

(With appln.(s) for impleadment and stay/direction and Office Report)

Date : 11/01/2017 This matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON&#39;BLE MR. JUSTICE J. CHELAMESWAR

HON&#39;BLE MR. JUSTICE ABHAY MANOHAR SAPRE

For Appellant(s) Mr. A. S. Pundir, Adv.

Mr. Arijeet Singh, Adv.

Mr. Bhupendra Kumar Bhardwaj, Adv.

Mr. Abhay Prakash Sahay, Adv.

Mr. Himanshu Shekhar, Adv.

Mr. Amit Mishra S, Adv.

for Mr. Kuldip Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. Preetika Dwivedi, Adv.

Mr. Ajit Sharma, Adv.

Ms. Seema Singh, Adv.

Mr. Vikas Bansode, Adv.

Mr. Ravi Prakash Mehrotra, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Civil Appeal No. 5855 of 2005

We have heard the learned counsel and perused the record. We do not see any cogent reason to interfere with the judgment under appeal. The judgment impugned does not warrant any interference. The civil appeal is dismissed.

-2-

W.P.(C) No. 155/2005

We do not see any reason to exercise our jurisdiction under Article 32 of the Constitution of India. The writ petition is dismissed.

In view of the dismissal of the writ petition, application for impleadment does not survive and is accordingly dismissed as such. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(DEEPAK MANSUKHANI) (RAJINDER KAUR)

AR-cum-PS Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL/APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 5855 OF 2005

MUNNA LAL

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

U.P. JUDICIAL SERVICES ASSN. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

WITH

WRIT PETITION(C) NO(s). 155 OF 2005

O R D E R

Civil Appeal No. 5855 of 2005

We have heard the learned counsel and perused the record. We do not see any cogent reason to interfere with the judgment under appeal. The judgment impugned does not warrant any interference. The civil appeal is dismissed.

W.P.(C) No. 155/2005

We do not see any reason to exercise our jurisdiction under Article 32 of the Constitution of India. The writ petition is dismissed.

In view of the dismissal of the writ petition, application for impleadment does not survive and is accordingly dismissed as such. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

â- |.....J.  
(J. CHELAMESWAR)

â- |.....J.  
(ABHAY MANOHAR SAPRE)

NEW DELHI

JANUARY 11, 2017.