

\206@
C.A.No. 4141 OF 2001
.UP 10 2; Draft, smtst; -n -PA4 -dFX-NORMAL -y -e; dumbp
L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R
ITEM No.109 Court No. 11 SEC. XV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

CIVIL APPEAL NO.4141/2001@@
EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE

Hissar Central Co-op.Bank Ltd. Appellant (s)

VERSUS

Kali Ram Respondent (s)

Date : 14/01/2003 This Petition was called on for hearing today.@@
AA

CORAM :@@
AAAAAAAAA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.G. BALAKRISHNAN@@
AA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P. VENKATARAMA REDDI@@
AA

For Appellant (s) Ms. Suruchi Aggarwal,Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. Rishi Malhotra,Adv. for
Mr. Prem Malhotra,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.
.SP2

Heard the learned counsel for the appellant from 2.45
p.m. to 3.00 p.m. and learned counsel for the respondent
from 3.00 p.m. to 3.25 p.m.
The appeal stands disposed of.

.SP1
(Y.P.Dhamija) (K.K. Chadha)@@
AA
Court Master Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.4141/2001@@
EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE

Hissar Central Co-op.Bank Ltd.Appellant(s)

Vs.

Kali RamRespondent(s)

O R D E R@@
EEEEEEEEEEEE

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

(1) The respondent herein was the Secretary to the Society, Hissar Central Co-op. Bank. Series of charges were framed against him alleging that he had caused embezzlement of money of the society. Enquiry officer found him guilty of the charges and he was thereafter removed from service. The matter by way of reference reached Labour Court where the dismissal of respondent from the society was challenged. The Labour Court found that the enquiry was not done properly and there was no proof to the effect that respondent had caused embezzlement and the respondent was ordered to be reinstated in service with full back wages.

(2) The award passed by the Labour Court was challenged by the appellant -society before the High Court of Punjab and Haryana. When the matter was admitted, the Division Bench was pleased to issue notice only regarding the question of payment

- 2 -

of back wages to the respondent. The award of the Labour Court directing the appellant to reinstate the respondent has thus become final.

(3) Now, the question for consideration whether the respondent is, in fact, entitled to get full back wages from the date of the notice issued by him. The counsel for the appellant points out that in the award passed by the Labour Court, it is not stated that the respondent had not committed any irregularity; rather, the charges were not proved by producing satisfactory evidence. Our attention was also drawn to the reply filed by the respondent to the charge-sheet, wherein the respondent himself admitted that he omitted to make entry of receipt of certain amounts. It is also pertinent to note that there was a charge that the respondent had caused embezzlement of Rs.8600/- from the society. As regards this allegation, the respondent in his reply stated

that this amount was given to him as advance by the society pursuant to a resolution passed by it. In the inquiry, it was noticed that the resolution relied on by the respondent was found to be interpolated to the effect that the sum of Rs.8600/- was given as an advance. The Labour Court also noticed this fact, but stated that there was no evidence as to who had made the interpolation of the resolution produced before the enquiry officer. The fact remains, that there was interpolation and it is reasonable to assume that the

-3-

interpolation in the so-called resolution must have been done at the instance of the respondent as he is the sole beneficiary of it.

(4) We are pointing out all these facts just to show that the respondent did not possess a clean record and his exoneration from all the charges was on the basis of reasonable doubt entertained by the Labour Court. These facts are relevant for the purpose of considering of payment of full back wages. Counsel for the respondent contended that while ordering back wages, the only question that has to be considered is whether the employee while out of service was gainfully employed or not. But we do not think that that is the only matter to be looked into when ordering back wages. The nature of the charge, the extent of his involvement and whether his conduct has caused loss to the employer etc. are all relevant factors to consider while granting back wages. In innumerable cases, this Court had kept in mind this principle while ordering back wages to the employees for the period they were out of employment. It is also to be noted that the appellant society was not vigilant in adducing evidence before the Presiding Officer and the matter was prolonged for a long period. Reinstatement was also not effected by the appellant society despite the fact that the matter became final in the High Court. Even the enquiry

- 4 -

against respondent was not done properly and it was without following the principles of natural justice.

(5) Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, this is not a fit case where respondent should have been given full back wages from 3.12.1991. The respondent was working in a society where he was dealing with public money and if there is even the slightest evidence regarding the misappropriation of public money, the matter is to be viewed seriously. On an overall view, we direct that the back wages payable to the respondent shall be 25% of the amount due to him by way of back wages from 3.12.1991 till date of reinstatement. The appellant shall pay the said amount to the respondent within a period of 8 weeks from today.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly.

.SP1

.....J.
(K.G. BALAKRISHNAN)@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

NEW DELHI,
JANUARY 14, 2003

.....J.
(P.VENKATARAMA REDDI)