

n

SLP(Crl.)No. 1259 OF 2004  
ITEM No.5

Court No. 8

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No. 1259/2004

(From the judgement and order dated 11/02/2004 in CRLP 40/04  
of The HIGH COURT OF A.P. AT HYDERABAD)

MODUGUALA S.RAMI REDDY @ RAMIREDDY & ORS

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF A.P. & ANR.

Respondent (s)

( With Appln(s). for ex-Parte stay )

Date : 29/03/2004 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.G. BALAKRISHNAN  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. SRIKRISHNA

For Petitioner (s)Dr. Rajeev Dhavan, Sr.Adv.  
Mr. B. Vikas,Adv.

Mrs. D. Bharathi Reddy,Adv.

For Respondent (s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners. We are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order. The special leave petition is dismissed.

However, the counsel for the petitioners submits that there is a serious threat to the petitioners in case they appear as accused in the trial court at Tsundur. If there is real apprehension of danger to their lives, the petitioners would be at liberty to approach the High Court for appropriate police protection. In case, any such application is filed, the High Court would pass appropriate orders.

.....2/-

-2-

We also notice that in the order passed by the Special Sessions Judge, Guntur, on 25.10.1994, the Special Public Prosecutor, who appeared in the case, stated that the State was willing to provide police protection to the accused and would take all precautionary measures. If any one of the accused seeks for personal exemption from appearance, the Special Judge shall pass appropriate orders.

(A.S. BISHT)(VEERA VERMA)  
COURT MASTER

COURT MASTER