ÈITEM NO.114 COURT NO.10 SECTION IV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 6640 OF 2004

REGD. PUBLIC TRUST KUARON KA AKHADA Appellant (s)

VERSUS

SAHABUDDIN(DEAD)& ORS. Respondent(s)
(With appln(s) for substitution,exemption from filing O.T.,c/delay in filing substitution appln.,compromise and office report)

Date: 09/02/2011 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P. SATHASIVAM
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE B.S. CHAUHAN

For Appellant(s) Mr. Sushil Kumar Jain,Adv.
Mr. Puneet Jain,Adv.
Ms. Pratibha Jain,Adv.
Mr. Trishna Mohan,Adv.
Mr. Anil Kumar Verma,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Niraj Sharma,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Delay in filing substitution application is condoned.

Interlocutory application for substitution is allowed.

The appeal is disposed of in terms of the signed order.

[Madhu Bala] [Savita Sainani]
Sr.P.A. Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6640 OF 2004

REGD.PUBLIC TRUST KUNWARON KA AKHADA ....APPELLANT

VERSUS

SAHABUDDIN(DEAD)& ORS. ....RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

Delay in filing substitution application is condoned.

Interlocutory application for substitution is

allowed.

It is represented that both the parties have arrived at a settlement and filed a memo of compromise. The same is taken on record.

The civil appeal is disposed of in terms of the compromise memo.

.....J.
[P. SATHASIVAM]

NEW DELHI
9TH FEBRUARY,2011

.....J.
[DR.B.S. CHAUHAN]