

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.932 OF 2001@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

SECRETARY TO GOVT., TAMIL NADU & ANR.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

S. SARAMBEE & ANR.

Respondent (s)

(With Office Report)

Date : 20/02/2003 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAJENDRA BABU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.P. MATHUR

For Appellant (s) Mr. S. Balakrishnan, Sr. Adv.
Mrs. Revathy Raghavan, Adv.
Mr. R. Gopalakrishnan, Adv.
Mr. Abhay Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. B.V. Balram Das, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....R
.SP2

Heard the learned counsel for the parties for about 15
minuts.

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

.SP1

(A.S. Bisht)
Court Master

(Om Prakash)
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)

..L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.932 OF 2001@@  
EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE

SECRETARY TO GOVT., TAMIL NADU & ANR.

APPELLANT(S)

:VERSUS:

S. SARAMBEE & ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R@@  
EEEEEEEEEE

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J...R

.SP2

Since the period for which the respondent was sought to be detained has expired long back and no purpose will be served by examining the various contentions raised in this appeal, the appeal stands dismissed.

However, we make it clear that the question of law raised in this appeal is kept open for consideration in an appropriate case.

.SP1

.....J~  
( S. RAJENDRA BABU )@@  
BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB

.....J  
( G.P. MATHUR )@@  
BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB

New Delhi;  
February 20, 2003.