

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**REVIEW PETITION (C) No. OF 2026
(@ Diary No. 11942 OF 2025)**

IN

CIVIL APPEAL No. 6205 OF 2022 & 6206 OF 2022

Sarojini Bala And Anr.

... Petitioner

Versus

Madan Mohan Pathak (Since Dead)

Through Legal Heirs And Ors.

... Respondents

ORDER

1 This review petition application is filed with the delay of 884 days. Office Report dated 06.05.2025 reflects the defects therein, which earlier to be brought to the notice of Hon'ble Judge-in-Chamber, however we are of the opinion that this review petition is not maintainable in the light of the fact that the specific contentions of the review petitioners, mother and son, who were arrayed as respondent Nos. 47 and 50 in SLP(C) Nos. 2822-2823 of 2023 (6205-6206 of 2022)

2 Learned counsel for the petitioner claim that they were never served with notice in the SLP(C) 6205-6206 of 2022, and therefore, the order dated 02.09.2022 passed therein, is not binding upon them. However, original record from the Registry reflects that the office report dated 01.09.2022, which was placed before the Court, showed that service was not complete only upon respondent Nos. 41-43, 46-52. The review petitioners were, therefore, served

but chose not to enter appearance before this Court. In any event, the order dated 02.09.2022 records that the settlement agreement 28.01.2022 was arrived at by and between Deepak Pathak, petitioner No. 1, and Ashok Kumar Pathak, respondent No.3. It was in terms of that settlement that the appeals were disposed of by the order dated 02.09.2022.

3 In the light of aforesaid, we find no grounds made out to entertain this review petition.

4 The review petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J
[SANJAY KUMAR]

.....J
[K. VINOD CHANDRAN]

New Delhi;
May 08, 2026.

