

ITEM NO.44

COURT NO.6

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS  
WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s). 57 OF 2012

ESSAR TELEHOLDINGS LTD.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

REGR.GEN.DELHI HIGH COURT &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay)  
WITH W.P(C) NO. 59 of 2012  
(With appln(s) for stay)

Date: 30/03/2012 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHANSU JYOTI MUKHOPADHAYA

For Petitioner(s) Mr.Mukul Rohatgi, Sr.Adv.  
Mr. Siddharth Singla, Adv.  
Mr.Arshdeep Khurana, Adv.  
Mr.Ninad Laud, Adv.

Mr.Kavin Gulati, Adv.  
Ms.Neeha Nagpal, Adv.  
Mr.Rishi Agrawala, Adv.  
Mr. E.C. Agrawala, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr.K.K.Venugopal, Sr.Adv.  
Mr.H.P.Raval, ASG  
Mr.T.A.Khan, Adv.  
Mr.Vikas Malhotra, Adv.  
Mr.D.K.Thakur, Adv.  
Mr. B.V. Balaram Das, A.O.R.(Not Present)

Mr. Prashant Bhushan, Adv.  
Mr.Pranav Sachdeva, Adv.

Mr.A.D.N.Rao, Adv.  
Mr.Annan Venkatesh, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

After Shri Mukul Rohatgi, learned senior counsel  
appearing for the petitioner in W.P. (C)No. 57 of 2012 made further

2

submissions for about 20 minutes, we enquired from him as to how  
much time he is likely to take to conclude his submissions. Shri  
Rohatgi gave out that he will take about half an hour and in the  
connected matter, i.e., W.P.(C) No. 59 of 2012 Shri Harish Salve,  
senior advocate is likely to take another hour.

Shri K.K.Venugopal, learned senior counsel appearing for

the CBI and Shri Prashant Bhushan, learned counsel appearing for respondent Nos.5 to 7 say that they will take about an hour and a half.

Since the time left with the Court is not sufficient for concluding the hearing, the cases are adjourned to 17.4.2012.

To be taken up as the first case.

(Satish K.Yadav)  
Court Master

(Phoolan Wati Arora)  
Court Master