

SECTION-IV
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2696 – 2697 OF 2013

Geeta

....Appellants

VERSUS

Anjana Devi (Dead) thr. Lrs.

...Respondents

OFFICE REPORT ON PRE-FINAL HEARING

The Office Report is prepared as per Circular No.F.41/Judl./2008 dated 17th December, 2008:-

- (a) There are Respondent Nos. 1(a), 1(b), 1(c)(i) to 1(c)(iv) and 1(d) to 1(i). Respondent Nos. 1(a), 1(b), 1(d) to 1(i) are represented through Mr. Rishi Malhotra, Advocate. Respondent No. 1(c)(i) is represented by Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 1(c)(ii) to 1(c)(iv) have been served with Notice of Lodgement of Petition of Appeal through High Court, but no one has chosen to enter appearance on their behalf so far.
- (b) Original record in the appeal has been returned to concerned High Court vide office order dated 03.12.2014.
- (c) Not applicable.
- (d) Not applicable.
- (e) No application for substitution is pending.
- (f) Counsel for Appellant as well as Respondent has not filed Statement of Case despite notice dated 21.08.2014. Stipulated time to file the same has expired. In terms of Rule 32, Sub Rule-2 of S.C.R. 2013, it is presumed that the appellant has adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at the time of presentation of petition for seeking special leave to appeal (SLP)/Appeal, as statement of case, and does not desire to file any further statement of case. If the Respondent has not filed statement of case within the stipulated time as provided in Sub Rule-1 of S.C.R. 2013, it is presumed that the respondent does not desire to lodge statement of case in the appeal.
- (g) Not applicable.

Dated this the 16th day of November, 2016.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to :-

1. Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh, Advocate,
236, M.C. Setalwad Lawyers Chambers, SCI, New Delhi
2. Mr. Rishi Malhotra, Advocate,
131, Old Lawyers Chambers, Supreme Court of India, New Delhi

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Rm/c4/roni/2013