

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
I.A. NOS.5-6 & 7-8
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 10866-10867 OF 2010

M.SIDDIQ (D) THR. LR. MAULANA ASSHAD ETC. Appellant (s)

VERSUS

MAHANT SURESH DAS & ORS.ETC.ETC. Respondent(s)

(For substitution and c/delay in filing substitution appln. and office report)

Date: 10/09/2013 These applications were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FAKKIR MOHAMED IBRAHIM KALIFULLA

For parties:

Mr. S.P. Singh, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Mohd.Irshad Hanif, Adv.
Mr. Aarif Ali, Adv.
Mr. N.A. Usmani, Adv.

Mr. R.C. Gubrele, Adv.

Mr. B.K. Satija, Adv.

Ms. Sangeeta Mandal, Adv.
Mr. Arpit Shukla, Adv.
for M/s Fox Mandal & Co.

Mr. Ravi Shankar Prasad, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Vikramjit Banerjee, Adv.
Mr. S.S. Shamsbery, Adv.
Mr. Anish Kumar Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Deep Shikha Bhati, Adv.
Mr. R.D. Gupta, Adv.

Md. Shahid Anwar, Adv.

Mr. M.R. Shamshad, Adv.
Mr. Ahmad S. Azhar, Adv.
Mr. Shashank Singh, Adv.

Mr. Yash Pal Dhingra, Adv.

Mr. Amit Pawan, Adv.

Mr. Anoop George Chaudhary, Sr. Adv.
Ms. June Chaudhary, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Syed Shahid Hussain Rizvi, Adv.

Ms. Manjusha Wadhwa, Adv.

Mr. Ashok K. Srivastava, Adv.

Mr. Dharmendra Kumar Sinha, Adv.

Mr. Shakil Ahmed Syed, Adv.

Mr. P.V. Yogeswaran, Adv.

Mr. Sunil Kumar Jain, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

I.A. NOS.5-6 & 7-8 IN CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 10866-10867 OF 2010

Learned counsel for the applicant-appellant submits that since, in similar circumstance, application for substitution in Civil Appeal No.7226 of 2011 has been allowed, these applications may be allowed. Learned counsel further states that the application for substitution of the name of Mr. Jyoti Pati Ram in place of (Late) B. Priya Dutt as his legal heir/respondent No.8 is not pressed.

In view of the above, delay in filing substitution application is condoned. The prayer for substitution is allowed insofar as the substitution of the name of Mr. Anwar Ahmad R/o Mohalla Rakabganj, Faizabad in place of (Late) Shri Mahmud Ahmad as his legal heir/son respondent No.10 is concerned.

Let the name of Shri Mahmud Ahmad be deleted from the array of parties and be substituted by his son Mr. Anwar Ahmad.

The applications are disposed of accordingly.

It is also brought to our notice that the record of the High Court was called by this Court by order dated 9th May, 2011. Till date, the record have not been received from the High Court. Let the office issue a reminder to the High Court for transmission of the record to this Court before the hearing of the matter in terms of order dated 9th May, 2011.

|(VINOD LAKHINA)

|COURT MASTER

|(INDU BALA KAPUR)

| |COURT MASTER