

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 665 OF 2004

RAVINDRA KUMAR

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF CHATTISGARH

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for bail and office report)

Date: 02/03/2005 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.K. SEMA

For Appellant(s)

Mr. B.S. Rajesh Agrajit, Adv.

Mr. C. Balakrishna, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Manish Singhvi, Adv.

Mr. Atul Jha, Adv.

Mr. Rajesh Srivastava, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Heard the parties.

Appeal fails and the same is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

[ Charanjeet Kaur ]

Court Master

[ Om Prakash ]

Court Master

[ Signed order is placed on the file ]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 665 OF 2004

Ravindra Kumar	..	Appellant(s)
	Versus	
State of Chattisgarh	..	Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard the parties.

The sole appellant along with accused Arun Kumar was tried and by judgment rendered by trial Court, Arun Kumar was acquitted whereas the appellant was convicted under Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code and sentenced to undergo imprisonment for life and to pay a fine of Rs. 200/-, in default, to undergo simple imprisonment for a period of one month. Against the order of acquittal, no appeal was preferred by the State of Chattisgarh whereas on appeal being preferred by the appellant, his conviction and sentence have been upheld by the High Court. Hence this appeal by special leave.

Having heard the parties and perused the records, we are of the opinion that two Courts have recorded conviction

of the appellant upon threadbare discussion of evidence and we do not find any infirmity

therein.

Accordingly, appeal fails and the same is dismissed.

.....J[ B.N. AGRAWAL ]

.....J [ H.K. SEMA ]

NEW DELHI,

MARCH 2, 2005.