

T  
T.P.(C) No. 272 OF 2001

ITEM No.3

Court No. 6

SECTION XVIA  
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Transfer Petition.(Civil) No.272/2001

LAKSHMI MALINI

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

R. PRAKASH

Respondent (s)

( With Appln(s). for stay and Office Report)  
( For Final Disposal )

Date : 18/10/2001 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.B. SHAH  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DORAISWAMY RAJU

For Petitioner (s)

Mr.Ramesh Babu M.R.,Adv.

For Respondent (s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J  
.SP2

None appears on behalf of the respondent despite service.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Transfer petition is allowed and O.P.No.27/2001 filed by respondent-husband before the Family Court at Ernakulam (Kerala) is transferred to the Family Court at Chennai (Tamil Nadu) with no order as to costs.

.SP1

(Vijay Kumar Sharma)  
Court Master

(K.K Chadha)  
Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file.

.PA

.UP 10 2; Draft, smtst; -n -PA4 -dFX-NORMAL -y -e; dumbp

L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R  
.PL55

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

LAKSHMI MALINI

Petitioner

Versus

R. PRAKASH

Respondent

O R D E R@@  
CCCCCCCC

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....

.SP2

None is appearing on behalf of the respondent despite service of notice.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Considering the fact that the petitioner-wife is residing at Chennai and that she is having a small child of two years and that petition for divorce is filed by the respondent-husband at Ernakulam (Kerala) and also considering the inconvenience which is likely to be caused to her if she is required to attend the said court, the transfer petition deserves to be allowed.

In the result, this transfer petition is allowed and O.P.No.27/2001 filed by the respondent-husband before the Family Court at Ernakulam (Kerala) titled R. Prakash@@  
CCCCCCCC

.PA

:-2:-

vs. Lakshmi Malini is transferred to the Family Court at@@  
CCCCCCCCCCCC  
Chennai (Tamil Nadu). No order as to costs.

.SP1

.....J.  
( M.B. Shah )

.....J.  
( Doraiswamy Raju)

New Delhi,  
October 18, 2001.@@  
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC