

@

C.A.No. 2707 OF 1999

.UP 10 2; Draft, smtst; -n -PA4 -dFX-NORMAL -y -e; dumbp

L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....

ITEM NO. 101 COURT NO. 5 SECTION XVII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2707/1999

State of Bihar & Ors. .. Appellant (s)

Vs.

Chandrika Roy & Ors. .. Respondent(s)

(With appln. for exemption from filing O.T.
and with prayer for interim relief and office report)

DATE : 28.2.2002 : This/These matter (s) was/were
called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAJENDRA BABU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P. VENKATARAMA REDDI

For Appellant (s) : Mr. H.L. Aggarwal, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Kumar Rajesh Singh, Adv.
Mr. B.B. Singh, Adv.

For Respondent (s) : Mr. M.K. Choudhary, Adv.
Mr. S.K. Verma, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....

.SP2

Learned counsel for the parties aruged from 10.40
to 10.50 a.m.

The appeal is dismissed.

.SP1

Charanjit

[Om Prakash]
Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]

.....L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....
.PL55

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2707/1999@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

State of Bihar & Ors. .. Appellants

Vs.

Chandrika Roy & Ors. .. Respondents

O_R_D_E_R@@
AAAAAAAAA

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....
.SP2

On the findings recorded by the High Court, we do not think it is a fit case for our interference under Article 136 of the Constitution. The appeal is, therefore, dismissed.

.SP1

.....J@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
[S. RAJENDRA BABU]@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

.....J@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
[P. VENKATARAMA REDDI]@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

New Delhi,@@
AAAAAAAAAA
February 28, 2002@@
AAAAAAAAAAAA