

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.733 OF 2000@@  
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

JAI NARAIN ... APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P. ... RESPONDENT(S)

(With Office Report)

Date: 16/07/2002. This/These matter(s) were called on for hearing today.@@  
AAAAAAAAAA

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE U.C. BANERJEE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL

For Appellant(s) Mr. Kamendra Mishra, Adv. (N.P.)

For Respondent (s) Mr. T.N. Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Pramod Swarup, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.  
.SP2

This is a second call when no one appears in support of the appeal. In the normal course of events it would have entailed an order of dismissal by reason of non-appearance. But this being a matter under Section 302 IPC and the appellant Jai Narain is in jail suffering a punishment of life imprisonment, we do deem it fit to offer a further opportunity to the appellant herein to make alternative arrangements so that on the next date of hearing somebody else may appear in support of the appeal. The Registry, thus, is

..2/-

directed to send a notice immediately to the appellant herein through appropriate agencies and let this matter stand over for a period of four weeks.

Let this also be made clear in the notice that in the event no one appears on the next date of hearing, this Court will not have any

