

ITEM NO.115

COURT NO.6

SECTION XIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 1823 OF 2005

THE THANTHRI

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

M. RAMAN NAMBOODIRI & ANR.

Respondent(s)

Date: 28/10/2010 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE GYAN SUDHA MISRA

For Appellant(s)

Mr. E.M.S. Anam,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. K.Radhakrishnan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Tarun Satija, Adv.for
M/S. Lawyer'S Knit & Co.

Mr. Roy Abraham, Adv.for
Mr.Himinder Lal ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Appeal is disposed of in terms of the signed
order.

(Parveen Kr. Chawla)
Court Master

(Indu Satija)
Court Master

[signed order is placed on the file]
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1823 OF 2005

The Thanthri

..Appellant

versus

M.Raman Namboodiri & Another

..Respondents

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

This Appeal has been filed against the impugned
judgment of the Kerala High Court dated 04th February, 2005.

The short question involved in this case is whether

respondent No.1 was liable to be appointed as Melsanthi
(Head Priest) of Sree Guruvayur Temple. The maximum age
limit for such post is 65 years. Admittedly, respondent
No.1 has crossed the age of 65 years. Hence, he can no
longer serve as Melsanthi as he has crossed the maximum
age.

In view of this, this appeal is disposed of without
going into the merits of the controversy. In future any
appointment will be made in accordance with the Guruvayur
Devaswom Act, 1978 and the regulations framed thereunder.
No costs.

NEW DELHI;J.
OCTOBER 28, 2010 [MARKANDEY KATJU]
[GYAN SUDHA MISRA]