

ITEM NO.19

COURT NO.17

SECTION XIV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 4059-4060/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and orders dated 06-12-2025 in RFAOS No. 26/2019 & RFAOS No. 34/2019 passed by the High Court of Delhi at New Delhi]

TARUN SAWHNEY

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UDYAN LAL & ORS.

Respondent(s)

FOR ADMISSION, IA No. 29258/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING LIST OF DATES / SYNOPSIS

Date : 02-02-2026 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.V. VISWANATHAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPUL M. PANCHOLI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Dhruv Mehta, Sr.Adv*
Mr. Divyakant Lahoti, AOR
Mr. Kartik Lahoti, Adv.
Ms. Akanksha Soni, Adv.
Ms. Shubheksha Dwivedi, Adv.
Mr. Harpreet Singh, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Maheshwari, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Arun Batta, Adv.
Ms. Marla Indira, Adv.
Mr. Rohan Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Tatini Basu, AOR

Mr. C U Singh, Sr. Adv. (NP)
Ms. Praveena Gautam, AOR
Ms. Tissy Annie Thomas, Adv.
Mr. Pawan Shukla, Adv.
Mr. Rohan Bansla, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Considering the fact that the judgment and order(s) is/are of reversal, leave granted.
2. Parties shall maintain *status quo* as on today with regard

to the nature, title and possession of the suit property.

3. Ms. Praveena Gautam, learned counsel for the respondents (Ashok Khanna, Gita Cressey & Vinoo Bhagat) submits that Sh.Vinoo Bhagat is ailing.

4. In view of the above fact, Liberty to mention to Hon' ble the Chief Justice of India for early hearing.

5. Parties are *ad-idem* that, in the meantime, the matter be referred for the mediation of Hon'ble Justice Kurian Joseph, former Judge of this Court.

6. We accept the suggestion. Let the matter be referred to Hon'ble Justice Kurian Joseph, former Judge of this Court. The fee for the Mediator shall be fixed in consultation with the learned Mediator. Parties to contact the learned Mediator and appear on a date convenient to the learned Mediator. In case the mediation is successful, parties are at liberty to mention.

7. Mr.Dhruv Mehta, learned senior counsel for the petitioner(s) draws attention to para 59 of the impugned order wherein it is recorded that the plaintiff Mr.Tarun Sawhney owns and manages the Industrial group which is also in Real Estate. Mr.Mehta, learned senior counsel submits that the group owned by the plaintiff has no connection whatsoever with Real Estate. The said statement is placed on record.

(NIRMALA NEGI)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(MANOJ KUMAR)
COURT MASTER (NSH)

*Revised is made only for the purpose of appearance

ITEM NO.19

COURT NO.17

SECTION XIV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 4059-4060/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and orders dated 06-12-2025 in RFAOS No. 26/2019 & RFAOS No. 34/2019 passed by the High Court of Delhi at New Delhi]

TARUN SAWHNEY

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UDYAN LAL & ORS.

Respondent(s)

FOR ADMISSION, IA No. 29258/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING LIST OF DATES / SYNOPSIS

Date : 02-02-2026 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.V. VISWANATHAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPUL M. PANCHOLI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Dhruv Mehta, Sr.Adv*
Mr. Divyakant Lahoti, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Arun Batta, Adv.
Ms. Marla Indira, Adv.
Mr. Rohan Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Tatini Basu, AOR

Mr. C U Singh, Sr. Adv. (NP)
Ms. Praveena Gautam, AOR
Ms. Tissy Annie Thomas, Adv.
Mr. Pawan Shukla, Adv.
Mr. Rohan Bansla, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Considering the fact that the judgment and order(s) is/are of reversal, leave granted.
2. Parties shall maintain *status quo* as on today with regard to the nature, title and possession of the suit property.
3. Ms. Praveena Gautam, learned counsel for the respondents (Ashok Khanna, Gita Cressey & Vinoo Bhagat) submits that

Sh.Vinoo Bhagat is ailing.

4. In view of the above fact, Liberty to mention to Hon' ble the Chief Justice of India for early hearing.

5. Parties are *ad-idem* that, in the meantime, the matter be referred for the mediation of Hon'ble Justice Kurian Joseph, former Judge of this Court.

6. We accept the suggestion. Let the matter be referred to Hon'ble Justice Kurian Joseph, former Judge of this Court. The fee for the Mediator shall be fixed in consultation with the learned Mediator. Parties to contact the learned Mediator and appear on a date convenient to the learned Mediator. In case the mediation is successful, parties are at liberty to mention.

7. Mr.Dhruv Mehta, learned senior counsel for the petitioner(s) draws attention to para 59 of the impugned order wherein it is recorded that the plaintiff Mr.Tarun Sawhney owns and manages the Industrial group which is also in Real Estate. Mr.Mehta, learned senior counsel submits that the group owned by the plaintiff has no connection whatsoever with Real Estate. The said statement is placed on record.

(NIRMALA NEGI)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS
*appearance not given

(MANOJ KUMAR)
COURT MASTER (NSH)