

ITEM NO.55

COURT NO.7

SECTION II-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G SSPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CRIMINAL) Diary No.4899/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 16-10-2025 in CRLA(BA) No. 111/2025 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay at Nagpur]

SACHIN RAMESH PATIL

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

Respondent(s)

IA No. 62279/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING SLP, IA No. 62277/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT AND IA No. 62278/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 25-02-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.B. PARDIWALA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.V. VISWANATHAN

For Petitioner(s) :

Mr. Shreeyash Uday Lalit, Adv.
Mr. Mir Nagmans, Adv.
Mr. Himanshu Vats, Adv.
Mr. Angad Pahal, Adv.
Mr. Lavam Tyagi, Adv.
Ms. Ishita Khurana, Adv.
Mr. Aviral Kumar Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Ishaan George, AOR
Mr. Santosh Kumar Prasad, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR
Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, Adv.
Mr. Shrirang B. Varma, Adv.
Mr. Sourav Singh, Adv.
Ms. Chitransha Singh Sikarwar, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. Exemption Applications are allowed.
3. The petitioner has been denied regular bail in connection with Crime No.50 of 2023 registered with Koradi Police Station, Tahsil

and Distt. Nagpur, for the offence punishable under Sections 370, 369, 109, 198, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short, the "IPC") respectively, Sections 75 and 81 of the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015 respectively and Sections 3(1)(ii), 3(2), 3(4) and 4 of the Maharashtra Control of Organized Crime Act, 1999 (for short "MCOC Act") respectively.

4. We heard Mr. Shreyash Uday Lalit, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, the learned counsel appearing for the State of Maharashtra.

5. *Prima facie*, this appears to be a case of child trafficking as alleged.

6. We are not inclined to exercise our discretion in favour of the petitioner, more particularly, keeping in mind that there are four identical cases against him. However, we should also not overlook the fact that it has been two years that the petitioner is in jail and till this date, the Trial Court has not proceeded to frame charge.

7. We direct the Trial Court to proceed with the framing of the charge and thereafter start with the recording of oral evidence at the earliest.

8. With the aforesaid, the Special Leave Petition stands disposed of.

9. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(VISHAL ANAND)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(POOJA SHARMA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)