

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2180 OF 2009

M/S. K. VIKAS & CO.

.....

APPELLANT

VERSUS

STATE BANK OF SAURASHTRA & ORS.

RESPONDENTS

ORDER

Delay condoned.

Heard learned counsel for the parties and
perused the record.

In our opinion, the impugned order passed by the
National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission does
not suffer from any legal infirmity warranting
interference by this Court.

The appeal is dismissed. However, we reiterate
the observations made by the National Commission that
the appellant shall be free to avail remedy by filing
civil suit. We also make it clear that if the

appellant files an application under Section 14 of the Limitation Act, the same shall be considered by the competent Court in accordance with law keeping in view the fact that the appellant has been prosecuting the complaint filed under Section 12 of the Consumer Protection Act, 1986 and the appeal filed before this Court.

.....J
[G.S. SINGHVI]

.....J
[ASOK KUMAR GANGULY]

NEW DELHI
MARCH 22, 2010.



