

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO.2276 OF 2006

ASHOK KUMAR AGARWAL Appellant (s)

VERSUS

K.L. HANDOO AND ANR. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay, c/delay in filing appeal and office report)

Date: 28/01/2008 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI

For Appellant(s) Mr. Praveen Chaturvedi,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Anil Kumar Sangal,Adv.
Mr. Nalin Sangal,Adv.
Ms. Bhakti Pasrija,Adv.

Mr. Abhishth Kumar,Adv.
Mr. Vibhakar Mishra,Adv.
Mr. Kamal Pandey,Adv.
Mr. Pankaj Sharma,Adv.

Mr. Sanjeev Sachdeva,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

Delay condoned.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The civil appeal is allowed.

[T.I. Rajput]
A.R.-cum-P.S.

[Om Prakash]
Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.2276 OF 2006

Ashok Kumar Agarwal ...Appellant(s)

Versus

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, we feel that ex-parte order passed by the Bar Council of India should be reversed and an opportunity should be given to the appellant to contest the proceeding.

Accordingly, the civil appeal is allowed, impugned orders are set aside and the matter is remitted to the Bar Council of India to dispose of the matter in accordance with law, after giving opportunity of hearing to the appellant, who shall file vakalatnama within four weeks before the Bar Council of India.

.....J

[B.N. AGRAWAL]

.....J

[G.S. SINGHVI]

New Delhi,
January 28, 2008.