

>

SLP(C)... 6074 OF 2004
ITEM No.4

Court No. 1

SECTION IVB

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal(Civil).../2004(CC6074)
(From the judgement and order dated 19/08/2002 in RSA 859/82
of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

YAD RAM (D) BY LRS. & ORS.

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

CHAND KAUR & ANR.

Respondent (s)

(for permission to file SLP and substitution and c/delay in filing
substitution application and with prayer for interim relief and office
report)

Date : 29/07/2004 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.P. MATHUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.K. THAKKER

For Petitioner (s)Mr. R S Hegde, Adv.
Mr. Chandra Prakash, Adv.
Ms. Savitri Pandey, Adv.
Mr. P.P. Singh,Adv.

For Respondent (s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The delay in re-filing is not sufficiently explained. Even otherwise, we have examined the merits of the case. There is a concurrent finding arrived at by all the three courts below disbelieving the will. The special leave petition is dismissed.

(D.P. WALIA)
COURT MASTER

(RADHA R. BHATIA)
COURT MASTER