

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).1348/2013

(From the judgement and order dated 01/02/2013 in CRLM No.M-35350/2012 (O&M) of the HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

HARSHVINDER PAL SINGH & ANR. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.T. CHANDIGARH AND ANR. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for anticipatory bail and permission to file additional documents)

WITH

SLP(Crl) NO. 1477 of 2013

(With appln(s) for anticipatory bail, permission to file additional documents and office report)

Date: 12/03/2013 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AFTAB ALAM

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RANJANA PRAKASH DESAI

For Petitioner(s)

SR 1348/2013 Mr. Ranjit Kumar, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Siddharth Singla, Adv.

Ms. Swikriti Singhania, Adv.

SR 1477/2013 Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Siddharth Singla, Adv.

Ms. Swikriti Singhania, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

U.T. Mr. Sidharth Luthra, ASG

Ms. Devina Sehgal, Adv.

Ms. M.S. Doabia, Adv.

Mr. S.S. Rawat, Adv. (NP)

Informant Mr. C.A. Sundaram, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Jasjit Singh Bedi, Adv.

Mr. K.S. Nalwa, Adv.

Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Ashok K. Mahajan, Adv.

...2/-

: 2 :

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

SLP (Crl.) No. 1348 of 2013

Heard Mr. Ranjit Kumar, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioners; Mr. Sidharth Luthra, learned Additional Solicitor General appearing for the Union Territory, Chandigarh; and Mr. C.A.Sundaram, learned senior counsel appearing for the informant.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, we are inclined to extend the indulgence of anticipatory bail to the petitioners and we, accordingly, direct that in case the two petitioners surrender before the jurisdictional Magistrate within one week from today, they will be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds

of `1,00,000 each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Magistrate.

It needs to be clarified that the bail granted to the two petitioners will not be a ground for granting similar privilege to the other accused in the case, inasmuch as in the FIR allegations of different kinds are made against the different accused. The case of each accused is, therefore, to be considered on its own merits.

The special leave petition is disposed of.

SLP (Crl.) No. 1477 of 2013

Heard Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioners; Mr. Sidharth Luthra, learned Additional Solicitor General appearing for the Union Territory, Chandigarh; and Mr. C.A.Sundaram, learned senior counsel appearing for the informant.

In the overall facts and circumstances of the case, we are not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

...3/-

: 3 :

The special leave petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

In case, however, the petitioners surrender before the jurisdictional Magistrate and make a prayer for regular bail, that would be considered on its own merits and without being prejudiced by the dismissal of this special leave petition.

| (N.S.K. Kamesh)

| | (Sneh Bala Mehra)

|  
| Court Master

| | Court Master

|