

Ü#

C.A.No. 163 OF 2000

.UP 10 2; Draft, smtst; -n -PA4 -dFX-NORMAL -y -e; dumbp

L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....

ITEM NO.104

COURT NO.5

SECTION-XI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO.163/2000

State of U.P. & Ors. .. Appellants

Vs.

Sudha Devi Sharma & Ors. .. Respondents
(With appln. for exemption from filing O.T. of annex.
in suppl. affidavit and with office report)
With SLP(C) No.19565/2000(With appln. for directions),
SLP(C) No.21320/2000(With appln for c/delay in filing SLP
and exemption from filing O.T. and taking additional
document on record)

DATE :30.1.2002 : This/These matter (s) was/were
called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAJENDRA BABU
HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE RUMA PAL

For Appellant (s) : Mr. Dinesh Dwivedi, Sr. adv.
Mr. Ajay K Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. Y.P. Singh, Adv.
Ms. Alka Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. Mahesh C Kaushiwa, Adv.

For Respondent (s) : Mr. R.C. Srivastava, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Rachna Srivastava, Adv.
Mr. Girdhar G Upadhyay, Adv.
M/s Syed Ali Ahmad, Syed T.Ahmad,
A.K. Srivastava, A. Rab, Adv.
Mr. Manoj Swarup, Adv.
Ms. Lalita Kohli, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

.....L.....I.....J

.SP2

Mr. Dinesh Dwivedi, learned senior Advocate
started arguments at 12.40 p.m. and concluded at 2.20 p.m

SLP(C) No. 19565/2000, 21320/2000@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

We do not think these are fit cases for our
interference under Article 136 of the Constitution. The

Special leave petitions are dismissed accordingly.
However, the question of law raised in these matters is
kept open to be considered in an appropriate case.

C.A. No. 163/2000@@

CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed
order.

.SP1

(Meenu Sethi)	Charanjit	(Om Prakash)
Court Master		Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]

.....L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....
.PL55

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 163/2000@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

State of U.P. & Ors. .. Appellants

Vs.

O_R_D_E_R@@
AAAAAAAAA

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....
.SP2

We do not think it is a fit case for our interference under Article 136 of the Constitution. Hence this appeal shall stand dismissed. However, the question of law raised in this matter is kept open to be considered in an appropriate case.

.SP1

.....J@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
[S. RAJENDRA BABU]@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

.....J@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
[RUMA PAL]@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAA

New Delhi,@@
AAAAAAAAA
January 30, 2002 @@
AAAAAAAAAAAAA