

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL No.4727 OF 2008

D. RAMAMURTHY
LANT

.....APPEL

VERSUS

LAKKARAJU LALITHAMMA & ORS.
ENTS

.....RESPOND

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The appellant had filed a suit for specific performance.

1 The aforesaid claim arose out of an agreement to sel
dated

02.01.1985. It is not a matter of dispute that even though the
property, which was the subject matter of sale, was owned by 8
members of a family, only 5 of the said members had executed the
agreement dated 02.01.1985.

ly Be that as it may, during the course of hearing, we
required the learned counsel for the appellant to satisfy this
Court from the evidence available on the record of this case, that
the appellant had expressed his readiness and willingness to comply
with his part of the agreement. This query was made specificall

on account of the finding recorded by the trial Court, as also, the
Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by
High Court, on the above subject, against the appellant. Learned
Satish Kumar Yadav
Date: 2014.09.25
15:44:31 IST
Reason:

counsel for the appellant could not invite our attention to any
material wherefrom it could be established, that the appellant was

ready and willing to execute his part of the agreement.

