

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 840 OF 2004

M/S. JAISU SHIPPING COMPANY PVT. LTD. Appellant(s)

VERSUS

M.V. ASEAN JADE & ORS. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission to file additional documents and with office report for direction)

Date: 17/01/2013 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL R. DAVE

For Appellant(s) Mr. Chanchal Kumar Ganguli,Adv.
Ms. Manasi Chatpalliwar,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Siddharth Dave,Adv.
Ms. Jemtiben AO,Adv.
For Ms. Vibha Datta Makhija,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Learned counsel for the appellant states that although he is the Advocate-on-record for the appellant, yet he has not been receiving any instructions from his client and in fact, he has not been able to contact his client at all. He, therefore, seeks discharge from this case. It is not possible for us to accept such a request unless the request for discharge is actually accepted by the client of the Advocate-on-record. He, therefore, seeks four weeks' time to issue the necessary notice to his client indicating his intention to seek discharge from this case.

It appears that in spite of the order passed by this Court on 29.3.2012, 50% of the amount has not been released to the respondent as the order does not indicate that the amount be released to the Power of Attorney Holder of respondent No.1 - Mr. A.M. Krishnan. The order dated 29.3.2012 is clarified and 50% of the amount, as ordered to be released on that date, be released to the aforesaid Power of Attorney Holder.

List this appeal after four weeks.