

1

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(S).541 OF 2008
Y.P. SINGHANIA

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

NEW DELHI MUNICIPAL COUNCIL RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

This appeal is allowed to be withdrawn with liberty to the appellant to raise all contentions before the trial Court. The trial Court may consider the contentions of the parties without being influenced by the impugned order.

Having regard to the fact that the appellant is said to be 65 years of age and having ill health, we direct that he may be exempted from personal appearance and be represented through counsel subject to his cooperating in the trial Court and subject to further orders of the trial Court.

The appellant may also be heard at the stage of proceedings under Section 251 Cr.P.C.

The parties may appear before the trial Court for further proceedings on 10th April, 2017.

The appeal is dismissed as withdrawn with the

2

aforesaid liberty.

Pending applications, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

.....J.

[ADARSH KUMAR GOEL]

.....J.

[UDAY UMESH LALIT]

NEW DELHI;

16TH FEBRUARY, 2017

3

ITEM NO.106

COURT NO.11

SECTION IIC

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Criminal Appeal No(s). 541/2008

Y.P. SINGHANIA

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

N.D.M.C.

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date : 16/02/2017 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT

For Appellant(s) Mr. Sidharth Luthra, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Bina Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Ranjan Jha, Adv.

Ms. Nina Gupta, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Rakesh Kumar Khanna, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Surya Kant, Adv.

Dr. Rashmi Khanna, Adv.

Mr. Vandita N., Adv.

Ms. Mahima Rathi, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The appeal is dismissed as withdrawn in terms of the signed order.

(SWETA DHYANI)

(SUMAN JAIN)

SR.P.A

COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)