

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).3840/2006

(From the judgement and order dated 06/01/2006 in WA No. 977/1999 & WA No. 978/1999 of The HIGH COURT OF MADRAS)

MANAGEMENT OF E.I.D. PARRY (I) LTD.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

GOVT. OF TAMIL NADU & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and directions and with prayer for interim relief and office report)
(For final disposal)

Date: 01/09/2006 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DALVEER BHANDARI

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Rajiv Dhawan, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Raveendran, Adv.

Mr. T. Harish Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. R. Venkatramani, Sr. Adv.

Mr. V.G. Pragasam, adv.

Mr. S. Vallinayagam, Adv.

Mr. T.L.V. Iyer, Sr. Adv.

Ms. G. Indira, Adv.

Mr. John Mathew, Adv.

Mr. A.T.M. Sampath ,Adv

Mr. V. Balaji, Adv.

Mr. T.S. Shanthi,

Mrs. R. Meena Kumari, adv.

Mr. S. Nanda Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Satish Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Ananda Selvam, adv.

Mr. V.N. Raghupathy ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Having heard Dr. Rajiv Dhawan, learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner and Mr. R. Venkataramani, learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the State of Tamil

Nadu, we are of the opinion that interest of justice would be subserved if the Division Bench of the High

Court is requested to give an opportunity to the parties as the records were produced by the State after

the judgment was reserved. The petitioner to file its response in relation to the records of these

proceedings on the basis whereof a reference has been made by a notification dated 30.4.1993 in terms of

Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. Keeping in view the fact that the parties have filed

their respective written submissions before the High Court, we are of the opinion that the petitioner may

be allowed to inspect the records produced by the State whereupon it may file its comments with regard

thereto supported by an affidavit. In the event, the Division Bench, upon perusal of the State's affidavit

finds it necessary to hear the parties only in respect thereof, a date therefor may be fixed. We hope and

trust, keeping in view the fact that only limited hearing is required to be given by the Bench which has

passed the order, the matter would be taken up and disposed of within four weeks.

The special leave petition is disposed of accordingly.

(O.P.Sharma)

(Pushap Lata Bhardw

aj)

Court Master

Court Master