

S U P R E M E      C O U R T   O F   I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).2052/2007

(From the judgement and order dated 20/11/2006 in CRLM No. 17137/2006 & CRLM No. 17139/2006 & CRLM No. 17141/2006 & CRLM No. 17143/2006 of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

PURAN CHAND & ORS.ETC ETC Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ANR.ETC Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay,permission to place addl. documents on record and office report )(For Final disposal)

WITH SLP(Crl) NO. 1247-1249 of 2008

(With office report)

SLP(Crl) NO. 1427 of 2008

(With office report)

SLP(Crl) NO. 1902-1907 of 2008

(With office report)

SLP(Crl) NO. 2070 of 2007

(With office report)

SLP(Crl) NO. 4108 of 2007

(With office report)

SLP(Crl) NO. 7257 of 2007

(With office report)

SLP(Crl) NO. 7673 of 2008

(With office report)

SLP(Crl) NO. 8087 of 2007

(With office report)

SLP(Crl) NO. 8923 of 2008

(With office report)

SLP(R)...CRLMP NO. 944 of 2009

(With office report)

Date: 18/11/2010      This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AFTAB ALAM

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M. LODHA

For Petitioner(s)      Mr.Deepak Goel,Adv.  
                                 Ms. S. Janani,Adv.  
                                 Mr. R.S. Rangpui,Adv.  
                                 Mr. Rajiv Dutta, Sr.Adv.  
                                 Mr.Kumar Dushyant Singh,Adv.  
                                 M.Vinay Kashyap,Adv.

Mrs.Jayashree Wad,Adv.  
Mr.Ashish Wad,Adv.  
Mrs.Tamali Wad,Adv.  
Mr.Chirag S.Dave,Adv.  
Mr.Sameer Abhyankar,Adv.

Mr. Nidesh Gupta,Sr.Adv.  
Mr.Tarun Gupta,Adv.

Mr. V.Sashant Gupta,Adv.

Mr. Anil Mittal, Adv.  
Dr. Kailash Chand, Adv.

Mr. R. Nedumaran, Adv.  
Dr. Kailash Chand

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Nidesh Gupta, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Tarun Gupta, Adv.  
Ms. S. Janani, Adv.

Mr. J.P. Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Ashok Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Debasis Misra, Adv.  
Mr. Sanjeev Malhotra, Adv  
M/S. J.S. Wad & Co., Adv  
Mr. Kuldip Singh, Adv

Mr. Balraj Dewan, Adv.  
Mr. Ajay Pal, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

SLP(Crl.)No.2052/2007

Heard Mr. Nidesh Gupta, learned senior advocate appearing for the petitioners. Examined the order of the High Court, coming under challenge, the first information report and the other materials on record.

In the facts of the case, there can be no question of quashing the FIR or the criminal proceedings arising from it. The High Court was quite correct in dismissing the quashing application filed by the petitioners under section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. We find no merit in the special leave petition. It is, accordingly, dismissed. It is, however, made clear that any observations or findings made by the High Court in the impugned order shall not cause any prejudice to the petitioners in the trial.

SLP(Crl.)No.4108/2007

Heard Mr. Nidesh Gupta, learned senior advocate appearing for the petitioner. Examined the order of the High Court, coming under challenge, the first information report and the other materials on record.

In the facts of the case, there can be no question of quashing the FIR or the criminal proceedings arising from it. The

High Court was quite correct in dismissing the quashing application filed by the petitioner under section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. We find no merit in the special leave petition. It is, accordingly, dismissed. It is, however, made clear that any observations or findings made by the High Court in the impugned order shall not cause any prejudice to the petitioner in the trial.

SLP(Crl.)No.7257/2007

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners. Examined the order of the High Court, coming under challenge, the first information report and the other materials on record.

In the facts of the case, there can be no question of quashing the FIR or the criminal proceedings arising from it. The High Court was quite correct in dismissing the quashing application filed by the petitioners under section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. We find no merit in the special leave petition. It is, accordingly, dismissed. It is, however, made clear that any observations or findings made by the High Court in the impugned order shall not cause any prejudice to the petitioners in the trial.

SLP(Crl.)No.8087/2007

Heard Mr.Kumar Dushyant Singh, advocate appearing for the petitioner. Examined the order of the High Court, coming under challenge, the first information report and the other materials on record.

In the facts of the case, there can be no question of quashing the FIR or the criminal proceedings arising from it. The High Court was quite correct in dismissing the quashing application filed by the petitioner under section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. We find no merit in the special leave petition. It is, accordingly, dismissed. It is, however, made clear that any observations or findings made by the High Court in the impugned order shall not cause any prejudice to the petitioner in the trial.

SLP(Crl.)No.1902-1907/2007

As prayed by the counsel for the petitioners put up after three weeks to enable him to bring on record the charge-sheet

submitted by the police on completion of the investigation.

SLP(Crl.)No.1427/2008

Put up after three weeks along with SLP (Crl.) Nos. 1902-

1907/2008. In the meanwhile, counsel for the petitioner should bring on record the charge-sheet submitted by the police on completion of the investigation.

SLP(Crl.)No.1247-1249/2008

Heard learned Counsel for the petitioners. Examined the order of the High Court, coming under challenge, the first information report and the other materials on record.

In the facts of the case, there can be no question of quashing the FIR or the criminal proceedings arising from it. The High Court was quite correct in dismissing the quashing application filed by the petitioners under section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. We find no merit in the special leave petitions. These are, accordingly, dismissed. It is, however, made clear that any observations or findings made by the High Court in the impugned order shall not cause any prejudice to the petitioners in the trial.

SLP(Crl.)No.7673/2008

Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner. Examined the order of the High Court, coming under challenge, the first information report and the other materials on record.

In the facts of the case, there can be no question of quashing the FIR or the criminal proceedings arising from it. The High Court was quite correct in dismissing the quashing application filed by the petitioners under section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. We find no merit in the special leave petition. It is, accordingly, dismissed. It is, however, made clear that any observations or findings made by the High Court in the impugned order shall not cause any prejudice to the petitioner in the trial.  
SLP(Crl.)...../09 with Crl.M.P.944/2009

Heard learned Counsel for the petitioners. Examined the order of the High Court, coming under challenge, the first information

report and the other materials on record.

In the facts of the case, there can be no question of quashing the FIR or the criminal proceedings arising from it. The High Court was quite correct in dismissing the quashing application filed by the petitioners under section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. We find no merit in the special leave petitions. It is, accordingly, dismissed. It is, however, made clear that any observations or findings made by the High Court in the impugned order shall not cause any prejudice to the petitioners in the trial.

SLP(Crl.)No.8923/2008

Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner. Examined the order of the High Court, coming under challenge, the first information report and the other materials on record.

In the facts of the case, there can be no question of quashing the FIR or the criminal proceedings arising from it. The High Court was quite correct in dismissing the quashing application filed by the petitioner under section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. We find no merit in the special leave petition. It is, accordingly, dismissed. It is, however, made clear that any observations or findings made by the High Court in the impugned order shall not cause any prejudice to the petitioner in the trial.

SLP(Crl.)No.2070/2007

Put up after three weeks along with SLP(Crl) Nos.1902-1907/2008. In the meanwhile, counsel for petitioner, should bring on record the charge-sheet submitted by the police on completion of the investigation.

(Shiveraj Kaur)  
PS to Addl.Regr.

(S.S.R.Krishna)  
Court Master