

ITEM NO.14

COURT NO.11

SECTION XIV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 34038/2012

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 31/05/2012 in CWP No. 3496/2011 passed by the High Court Of Himachal Pradesh At Shimla)

SUNDER SINGH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF H.P. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln. (s) for appropriate orders/final disposal of SLP and directions and exemption from filing O.T. and permission to file additional documents and interim relief and office report)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 7064/2014

(With Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 1866/2013

(With Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 5269/2013

(With Office Report)

SLP(C) Nos. 13674-13675/2013

(With appln. (s) for impleadment and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 20440/2015

(With Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 7063/2014

(With appln. (s) for intervention)

Date : 26/04/2017 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. M. C. Dhingra, Adv.

Mr. Ashwini Kumar Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Piyush Kaul Roy, Adv.

Mr. P.P. Rao, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Dinesh Kumar Thakur, Adv.

Mr. Varinder Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Balraj Dewan, Adv.

Ms. Pragati Neekhara, Adv.

Mr. Amit Anand Tiwari, Adv.

Mr. Vikas Mahajan, Adv.

Mr. Vinod Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Arun Singh, Adv.

Mr. Bhaskar Y. Kulkarni, Adv.

Mr. Anip Sachthey, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. K. Parameswar, Adv.

Mr. Mukul Singh, Adv.

Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, Adv.

Mr. Shreekant N. Terdal, Adv.

Mr. Varinder Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Mr. E. C. Agrawala, Adv.

Ms. Anuradha Mutatkar, Adv.

Ms. Pragati Neekhara, Adv.

Mr. S.G. Haasner, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Parul S., Adv.

Ms. Shally Bhasin, Adv.

Mr. Rakesh Mishra, Adv.

Ms. Pragati Neekhara, Adv.

Mr. Anip Sachthey, Adv.

Mr. Adiyta Dhawan, Adv.

Ms. Kiran Dhawan, Adv.

Mrs. Shally Bhasin, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

In SLP(C) No. 7064/2014 & SLP(C) No. 7063/2014

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

We do not find any ground to interfere with the impugned order. However, we find that the interveners have a grievance that they were not heard and their seniority is affected by the impugned order. If it is so, it will be open to them to move the High Court and the High Court may consider the matter on merits in accordance

with law.

The special leave petition is disposed of. The application for intervention also stand disposed of.

Pending applications, if any, are also stand disposed of.

In SLP(C) No. 20440/2015

In view of order passed in SLP(C) No. 7064/2014 & SLP(C) No. 7063/2014, this petition also stand disposed of on same terms.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

In SLP(C) No.34038/2012

Leave granted.

Hearing expedited. If possible, the matters be listed within six months.

To be heard along with SLP(C) No. 1866/2013, SLP(C) No. 5269/2013 and SLP(C) Nos. 13674-13675/2013

(SWETA DHYANI)
SR.P.A

(HARESH SINGH NEGI)
COURT MASTER