



IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. _____ OF 2026
(@ SLP(CRL.) No.1946/2026)

VIJENDRA S/O RAGHUVVEER APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF RAJASTHAN & ANR. RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the appellant, the learned counsel appearing for the State, and the learned counsel appearing for the complainant.
3. The appellant has been arraigned as an accused in connection with FIR No.180/2025 registered at Police Station: Sadar Bundi, District: Bundi. The appellant has been chargesheeted for the offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 117(2), 109(1), and 3(5) of the

Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

4. Apart from the period of incarceration undergone by the appellant, the learned counsel appearing for the appellant submitted that the mother of the appellant is on her deathbed and the co-accused have been granted bail.
5. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent-State vehemently opposed the appeal on the premise that the appellant has got prior criminal antecedents. It is also submitted that considering the overt act allegedly attributed to the appellant, there is no need for interference with the impugned order.
6. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant submitted that considering the nature of the allegation, and injury suffered by the victim, there is no need for interference with the impugned order.
7. We are inclined to set aside the impugned order not only because of the period of incarceration undergone by the appellant, but also by taking note of the fact that the co-accused have been granted bail. Though the appellant has prior criminal antecedents, he has been acquitted in

two of them, and the other remaining case is likely to be compromised. Suffice it is to state that the prolonged custody of the appellant is not required. Eight more witnesses have to be examined. The appellant has been under incarceration for close to an year.

8. Considering the above, the impugned order stands set aside and the appellant is granted bail, subject to the terms and conditions that may be imposed by the concerned Trial Court. The Trial Court shall impose an additional condition that the appellant shall not be permitted to reside in the village in which the alleged occurrence has taken place, during the period of bail.
9. The appeal is allowed, accordingly.
10. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
[M.M. SUNDRESH]

.....J.
[NONGMEIKAPAM KOTISWAR SINGH]

NEW DELHI;
11th MAY, 2026

ITEM NO.8

COURT NO.5

SECTION II-D

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.)
No(s). 1946/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order
dated 19-12-2025 in SBCRMSBA No. 16573/2025
passed by the High Court of Judicature for
Rajasthan at Jaipur]

VIJENDRA S/O RAGHUVVEER Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF RAJASTHAN & ANR. Respondent(s)

Date : 11-05-2026 This petition was called on for
hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. SUNDRESH
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NONGMEIKAPAM KOTISWAR SINGH

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Alok Tripathi, AOR

For Respondent(s) Ms. Sansriti Pathak, A.A.G.
 Mr. Saurabh Rajpal, Adv.
 Ms. Arushi Rathore, Adv.
 Ms. Nidhi Jaswal, AOR

Mr. Lokesh Kumar Choudhary, AOR
Mr. Ajay Kumar Rai, Adv.
Mr. Sri Srirama Chandra Sahu, Adv.
Mrs. Ankur Gulyani Panda, Adv.
Mr. Prabhat Chowdhary, Adv.
Mr. Surendra Gautam, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made
the following

O R D E R

Leave granted.

The appellant is granted bail on terms and conditions to the satisfaction of the Trial Court.

The appeal stands allowed in terms of the signed order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(SWETA BALODI)	(POONAM VAID)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS	ASSISTANT REGISTRAR
[Signed order is placed on the file]	