

hITEM NO.14

COURT NO.2 SECTION X
S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A. 5/2016 IN WRIT PETITION(S)(CIVIL) NO(S). 90/2006
HARAY RAM PRADHAN & ORS. PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF SIKKIM & ORS. RESPONDENT(S)
(Appln(s) for extension of time and office report)

Date : 21/10/2016 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL R. DAVE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Abhinav Mukerji,AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. K. Radhakrishnan,Sr.Adv.

Ms. Rashmi Malhotra,Adv.

Mr. Rajiv Singh,Adv.

For Mr. B. Krishna Prasad,AOR

Mr. A. Mariarputham,Adv. General

Ms. Aruna Mathur,Adv.

Mr. Yusuf Khan,Adv.

Mr. Avneesh Arputham,Adv.

Ms. Anuradha Arputham,Adv.

For M/s Arputham, Aruna & Co.

Ms. Sushma Suri,AOR

Mr. Annam D.N.Rao,AOR

Mr. Sudipto Sircar,Adv.

Mr. Rahul Mishra,Adv.

Mr. Abhinav Goyal,Adv.

Mr. Annam Venkatesh,Adv.

Mr. D.N. Goburdhan,AOR

Ms. Pallavi Chopra,Adv.

Mr. Mohit D. Ram,AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The I.A. is disposed of as infructuous in terms of the signed order.

(NARENDRA PRASAD) (SNEH BALA MEHRA)
COURT MASTER ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

I.A. 5/2016 IN WRIT PETITION(S)(CIVIL) NO(S). 90/2006
HARAY RAM PRADHAN & ORS. PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF SIKKIM & ORS. RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

It has been submitted by the learned counsel appearing for the applicant(s) that the appropriate decision has been taken, as directed by this Court and, therefore, this application has become infructuous.

The I.A. stands disposed of as having become infructuous.

.....J.

[ANIL R. DAVE]

.....J.

[A.M. KHANWILKAR]

NEW DELHI;

OCTOBER 21, 2016.