

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I.A. 5/2016 IN WRIT PETITION(S) (CIVIL) NO(S). 90/2006

HARAY RAM PRADHAN & ORS. PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF SIKKIM & ORS. RESPONDENT(S)
(Appln(s) for extension of time and office report)

Date : 21/10/2016 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL R. DAVE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Abhinav Mukerji, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. K. Radhakrishnan, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Rashmi Malhotra, Adv.
Mr. Rajiv Singh, Adv.
For Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, AORMr. A. Mariarputham, Adv. General
Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv.
Mr. Yusuf Khan, Adv.
Mr. Avneesh Arputham, Adv.
Ms. Anuradha Arputham, Adv.
For M/s Arputham, Aruna & Co.

Ms. Sushma Suri, AOR

Mr. Annam D.N. Rao, AOR
Mr. Sudipto Sircar, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Abhinav Goyal, Adv.
Mr. Annam Venkatesh, Adv.Mr. D.N. Goburdhan, AOR
Ms. Pallavi Chopra, Adv.

Mr. Mohit D. Ram, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The I.A. is disposed of as infructuous in terms of the
signed order.(NARENDRA PRASAD)
COURT MASTER(SNEH BALA MEHRA)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

I.A. 5/2016 IN WRIT PETITION(S) (CIVIL) NO(S). 90/2006

HARAY RAM PRADHAN & ORS.

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF SIKKIM & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

It has been submitted by the learned counsel appearing for the applicant(s) that the appropriate decision has been taken, as directed by this Court and, therefore, this application has become infructuous.

The I.A. stands disposed of as having become infructuous.

.....J.
[ANIL R. DAVE]

.....J.
[A.M. KHANWILKAR]

NEW DELHI;
OCTOBER 21, 2016.