

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

T R A N S F E R P E T I T I O N (CRL.) NO(s). 67-69 OF 2008

M / S Y E D A K A D U T E A M A N U F.CO.PV T.LT D. & AN R. Petitioner(s)

V E R S U S

G L O B A L T E A B R O K E R S Respondent(s)

[With appln(s) for stay];
WITH
T.P.(CR L) NOS.99- 107 of 2008 [With appln. for stay and office report];
T.P.(CR L) NO.109- 110 of 2008 [With appln. for stay and office report];
T.P.(CR L) NOS.83- 87 of 2008 [With appln. for stay];
T.P.(CR L) NOS.88- 97 of 2008 [With appln. for stay and office report];
T.P.(CR L) NOS.118- 123 of 2008 [With appln. for stay and office report];
T.P.(CR L) NOS.124- 127 of 2008 [With appln. for stay and office report];
S L P (C R L.)NO...../2009 [Crl.M P NOS.6298- 6301 of 2008]
[With Crl.M P NOS.6298- 6301 of 2008 - appln. for c/delay in filing S L P and office report]; and
T.P.(CR L) NOS.195- 197 of 2008 [With appln. for stay and office report].

Date: 20/03 / 2 009 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

C O R A M :
HON' B L E M R. J U S T I C E S.B. S I N H A
HON' B L E M R. J U S T I C E P. S A T H A S I V A M

For Petitioner(s) Mr. K.V. Viswanathan, Adv.
Mr. B. Ragunath, Adv. for
Mr. Vijay Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Roy Abraham, Adv.
Ms. Seema Jain, Adv.
Mr. Vimlesh Kumar, Adv. for
Mr.Himinder Lal, Adv.

Mr. V.J. Francis, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Shunmuga Sundaram, Sr. Adv.
Mr. T. Harish Kumar, Adv.
Mr. V. Vasudevan, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

T.P.(CR L.)NOS.67- 69/2008; 99-107/2008;
109- 110 OF 2008; 83-87/2008; 88-97/2008;
118- 123/2008; 124-127 OF 2008; AN D 195-197/2008.

The transfer petitions are allowed in terms of the signed order.
T.P.(Crl.)Nos.67-69/08 etc. (contd.)

S.L.P.(CR L.)NOS. /2009 [CRL. M P NOS.6298- 6301 /2008]

In view of the above order passed in the transfer
petitions, no orders are necessary on these petitions. They are disposed of
as such.

(Subhash Chander)
A.R.- cum-P.S.

(Pushap Lata Bhardwaj)
Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (C.R.L.) NOS. 67- 69 OF 2008

M / s. Yedakadu Tea Mfg. Co. Pvt. Ltd. & Anr.

.....Petitioners

Versus

Global Tea Brokers

.....Respondent

W I T H

T.P.(C.R.L.)NOS.99- 107 OF 2008; 109- 110 OF 2008;

83-87 OF 2008; 88-97 OF 2008; 118-123 OF 2008;

124- 127 OF 2008; AND 195- 197 OF 2008 AND

S.L.P.(C.R.L.)NOS._____/2009 [C.R.L. M P NOS.6298- 6301 /2008]

O R D E R

T.P.(C.R.L.)NOS.67- 69/2008; 99-107/2008;

109- 110 OF 2008; 83-87/2008; 88-97/2008;

118- 123/2008; 124-127 OF 2008; AND 195-197/2008.

Having heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties, we direct that Case Nos.292 of 2003, 297 of 2003 and 298 of 2003 titled, 'Global Tea Brokers v. M / s. Yedakadu Tea Manufacturing Co. Pvt. Ltd. & Anr.'; unregistered case in process no.2180 of 2005, unregistered case in process no.315 of 2006, unregistered case in process no.319 of 2006, unregistered case in process no.330 of 2006, case nos.39 of 2006, 584 of 2006, 904 of 2006, 1530 of 2006 and 2144 of 2006 titled, 'Global Tea Brokers v. M / s. Rose Mount Tea Factory & Ors.'; Case no.541/2005, unregistered case in process nos.713, 103, 327 and 1231 titled, 'Global Tea Brokers v. M / s. Rajchand Tea Industries & Ors.'; Case Nos.54 of 2005, 209 of 2005, 229 of 2006, 340 of 2006, 781 of 2006, 836 of 2006, 1242 of 2006 and 1551 of 2006, unregistered case in process nos.339 of 2006 and 341 of 2006 titled, 'Global Tea Brokers v. M / s. Parkglen Tea Factory & Ors.'; Case nos.187 T.P.(C.R.L.)Nos.67-69/08 etc. (contd.)

-2-

of 2005, 106 of 2006, 4477 of 2006, 51 of 2006, 230 of 2006 and 1550 of 2006 titled, 'Global Tea Brokers v. M / s. Somersait Tea Plantation & Ors.'; unregistered case in process no.1426, Case no.750 of 2005, unregistered

case in process no.218 and Case no.1551 of 2004 titled, 'Global Tea Brokers v. M / s. Hill Grow Tea Pvt. Ltd. & Ors.'; Criminal Case Nos.540 of 2006, 3582 of 2006 and 3573 of 2006 titled, 'Global Tea Brokers v. M / s. Premier Tea Industries' pending before Judicial Magistrate, Raipur, Chhattisgarh be transferred to Judicial Magistrate, Coonoor, Tamil Nadu and Complaint Nos.1549 of 2005 and 984 of 2006 titled, 'M / s. Global Tea Brokers v. M / s. Golden Valley Tea Factory & Anr. pending before Judicial Magistrate, First Class, Raipur, Chhattisgarh be transferred to Judicial Magistrate, First Class, Cochin (Kochi), Kerala. The records of the respective cases may be sent to the concerned Sessions Judge who would assign the same to a court having jurisdiction in the matter.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of this case, we would request the transferee Judge to fix a specific date of hearing and take up the matter on that date, save and except for sufficient and cogent reasons and dispose the same of as expeditiously as possible. The transfer petitions are allowed accordingly.

S.L.P.(CR L.)NOS. /2009 [CRL. M P NOS.6298- 6301 /2008]

In view of the above order passed in the transfer T.P.(Crl.)Nos.67-69/08 etc. (contd.)

-3-

petitions, no orders are necessary on these petitions. They are disposed of as such.

Sd/-

.....J.
[S.B. SIN H A]

Sd/-

.....J.
[P. SAT H A S I V A M]

New Delhi.
March 20, 2009.