

\214

Cr1.A.No. 1343 OF 2003
ITEM No.110

Court No.11

SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Criminal Appeal No.1343 of 2003.

HAFEEZ Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH Respondent (s)

[With office report]

Date : 24/02/2004 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL
HON'BLE DR.JUSTICE AR. LAKSHMANAN

For Appellant (s)Mr.RA Mishra, Adv.(AC)

For Respondent (s)Mr.RP Gupta,Sr.Adv.
Ms.Kamakshi S.Mehlwal,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard the learned counsel for the parties for some time.
The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

[Naresh Kumar]
AR-cum-PS

[Kanwal Singh]
Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file.]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 1343 OF 2003

HAFEEZ
...
APPELLANT (S)

VERSUS

STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH
...
RESPONDENT (S)

O R D E R

Heard the parties.

The sole appellant was convicted by the trial court under Section 21 of The Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of 10 years and to pay a fine of Rs.1 lac; in default to undergo further rigorous imprisonment for a period of one year. On appeal being preferred the High Court of Madhya Pradesh has upheld the conviction and hence this appeal by special leave.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record, specially the evidence of PW7, we are of the view that the High Court was justified in upholding conviction of the appellant and no interference is called for. The appeal is accordingly dismissed.

.....J.
(B.N. AGRAWAL)

.....J.
(Dr.AR. LAKSHMANAN)
New Delhi,
February 24, 2004.