

PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL(CIVIL) NO. 2383,2390,2392,8458 and 8156 OF 2010

WITH PRAYER FOR INTERIM RELIEF

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 1

(Application for condonation of delay)

STATE OF U. P. & ORS.

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

KARUNAKAR KHARE & ORS. ETC.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

SLP (C) NOS. 2383, 2390, 2392 OF 2010

The matters above mentioned were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 15.01.2010, when the Hon'ble Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner has pointed out that in A.K. Mishra's case a direction had been issued with the benefit of one month extra salary would be payable with effect from the date of the judgment i.e. 23rd September, 1991 whereas in the impugned judgment it has been ordered as with effect from 1979.

Issue notice on the applications seeking condonation of delay as also on the petitions for special leave.

In the meanwhile, there shall be stay. ”

SLP (C) NOS. 8458 AND 8156 OF 2010

The matters above mentioned were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 08.03.2010, when the Hon'ble Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Issue notice on application for condonation of delay as well as on the special leave petition.

In the meanwhil, there shall be stay.

Tag with SLP (c) No. 2383/2010 ”

Service Position of each matter is as under:

S.No.	SLP (C) No.	No. of Respondents	Service Position
1	2383/2010	30 SLP against Respondent Nos. 7 and 10 to 30 have been dismissed vide order dated 30.07.2012 by Hon'ble Judge passed on office report on default.	Proof of delivery duly signed have been received in respect of Respondent Nos. 1 to 6, 8 and 9 but no one has entered appearance on their behalf.

2	2390/2010	140 SLP against Respondent Nos. 1 to 64 and 66 to 140 have been dismissed vide order dated 30.07.2012 by Hon'ble Judge passed on office report on default.	Ms. Pooja Sharma, Advocate has filed Vakalatnama and memo of appearance on behalf of Respondent No. 65 but has not filed counter affidavit.
3	2392/2010	3 SLP against Respondent No. 3 has been dismissed vide order dated 16.01.2013 by Hon'ble Judge passed on office report on default.	Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 have sent a letter stating therein that they both are more than 80 years of age and are not in position to represent themselves before Hon'ble Supreme Court either in person or through an advocate on record.
4	8156/2010	10 SLP against Respondent Nos. 1 to 5 and 7 to 10 have been dismissed vide order dated 30.07.2012 by Hon'ble Judge passed on office report on default.	Proof of delivery duly signed has been received in respect of Respondent No. 6 but no one has entered appearance on his behalf.
5	8458/2010	14 SLP against Respondent Nos. 1 to 8 and 10 to 14 have been dismissed vide order dated 30.07.2012 by Hon'ble Judge passed on office report on default.	Proof of delivery duly signed has been received in respect of Respondent No. 9 but no one has entered appearance on his behalf.

Service is complete.

The matters above mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 24th day of December, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Mr. G. Venkateswara Rao, Advocate
Ms. Pooja Sharma, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR