

ü1

ITEM NO.302

COURT NO.10

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No.1936/2013
(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 11/09/2012
in CRLP No.6713/2012 passed by the High Court Of A.P. At Hyderabad)
STATE OF A.P. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

K. RAGHU RAMAKRISHNA RAJU Respondent(s)
(With appln.(s) for stay and office report)
WITH SLP(Crl.) Nos.1939-1941/2013
(With appln.(s) for stay and Office Report)

Date : 05/08/2016 These petitions were called on for hearing today.
CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.A. BOBDE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVA KIRTI SINGH

For Petitioner(s) Mr. D. Mahesh Babu,Adv.

Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. Vijaylakshmi, Adv.

Mr. N. Rajaraman,Adv.

Mr. K. Parameshwar, Adv.

Mr. P. Vinay Kumar,Adv.

Mr. G. Ramakrishna Prasad, Adv.

Mr. Suyodhan Byrapaneni, Adv.

Mr. Mohd. Wasay Khan, Adv.

Ms. Filza Moonis, Adv.

Mr. Bharat J. Joshi, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

SLP(Crl.) Nos. 1936/2013, 1939/2013 and 1941/2013

Learned counsel appearing for the parties state that in view
of the fact that there is no evidence found against the
respondents-accused in Crime No.128 of 2012 and the final report

2

filed by the police is accepted by the concerned trial court, these
petitions have now become infructuous.

In view of the above, these special leave petitions are
dismissed as having become infructuous.

SLP(Crl.) No.1940/2013

Having heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and
perused the record, we are not inclined to cancel the anticipatory
bail granted to the respondent-accused by the High Court in the
year 2012. Accordingly, the special leave petition stands
dismissed.

(Sanjay Kumar-II) (Indu Pokhriyal)

Court Master

Court Master