

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).9414/2006

(From the judgement and order dated 19/09/2005 in GA No. 2159/2005 of The  
HIGH COURT OF CALCUTTA)

PRESTIGE LIGHTS LTD.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

BENGAL LAMPS LTD.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and prayer for interim relief and office  
report )

Date: 19/04/2007 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.P. SINGH

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. BEDI

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Dipankar P. Gupta, Sr.Adv.

Mr. Sakesh Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Soumya Ray Chaudhuri, adv.

Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Parag P. Tripathi, Sr.Adv

Ms. Vismai Rao, Adv.

Mr. N. Mahabir, Adv.

Ms. Gauri Setia, Adv.

Ms. Meenakshi Prasad, Adv.

Mr. Vibhu Bhakru, Adv.

Mr. P.N. Puri, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Delay condoned.

the  
of  
9,  
d

This Special Leave Petition has been preferred against  
judgment and order of the Division Bench of the High Court  
Judicature at Calcutta in G.A.No.2159 of 2005 dated September 1  
2005 whereby the order refusing injunction passed by the learne  
Single Judge was set aside and the petitioner injuncted from using the  
mark 'Bengal' or 'Bengal Lamps' on its products.

...2/-

-2-

ng  
the

We have heard counsel for the parties at length and havi  
considered all aspects of the matter, we are of the view that  
judgment and order of the High Court does not require interference by  
this Court in the facts and circumstances of the case. Since the suit is

still pending before the High Court, we do not wish to record our

reasons in this order, lest it may prejudice the case of the parties.

Finding ourselves in agreement with the view taken by the Division Bench of the High Court impugned in the Special Leave Petition, we

dismiss the Special Leave Petition.

(Sukhbir Paul Kaur)

Court Master

(Vinod Kulvi)

Court Master