

D I A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CRL.) NO(s). 254-255 OF 2004

VISHWANATH GUPTA & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF UTTRANCHAL & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and office report )

WITH T.P.(CRL) NO. 89 of 2005

(With office report)

Date: 24/04/2006 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.P. SINGH

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALTAMAS KABIR

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. N.P. Midha, Adv.

Mr. R.R. Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Samy dip Chatterji, Adv.

Mr. Bharat Sangal, Adv.

Mr. B.S. Banthia, Adv. (A.C.)

Mr. Naveen Sharma, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms. Manjula Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Avtar Singh Rawat, AAG for

State Of Uttaranchal

Mr. Jatinder Kumar Bhatia ,Adv

Mr. Anil Kumar Tandale, Adv.

owing

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the foll

O R D E R

he signed order.

The transfer petitions are dismissed in terms of t

(Ajay Kr. Jain)  
(Vijay Dhawan)

Court Master  
Court Master

ile)

(Signed order is placed on the f

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (CRL.) NOS. 254-255 OF 2004

Vishwanath Gupta & Anr.  
Petitioners

....

Versus

State of Uttaranchal & Anr.  
Respondents

....

WITH

TRANSFER PETITION (CRL.) NO. 89 OF 2005

Rajiv Kumar Gupta  
Petitioner

....

Versus

State of Uttaranchal & Ors.  
Respondents

....

O R D E R

Having perused the affidavit filed on behalf of the District Bar Association, Nainital,

Uttaranchal, we find that the petitioners will have no difficulty in engaging a counsel to defend themselves in

the State of Uttaranchal. We express no opinion on the question of territorial jurisdiction of the Courts in the

State of Uttaranchal and that question may be agitated by the petitioners before the appropriate forum. With

this observation the transfer petitions are dismissed.

.....J.

(B.P. SINGH)

.....J.

(ALTAMAS KABIR)

New Delhi,

April 24, 2006